

**Main Request for Funding Form**

**Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council  
Fiscal Year 2013**

**Program or Project Title:** *Mississippi River Northwoods: Protect High Priority Habitat Complex*

**Funds Requested:** *\$14,500,000*

**Manager's Name:** *Susan Schmidt, The Trust for Public Land*

**Organization:** *Delta Waterfowl, Anglers for Habitat, Brainerd Lakes Area Community Foundation, Cuyuna State Trail Association, and The Nature Conservancy in partnership with The Trust for Public Land*

**Street Address:** *2610 University Avenue West, Suite 300*

**City:** *St. Paul* **State:** *MN* **Zip:** *55411*

**Telephone:** *651-999-5300*

**E-Mail:** *Susan.Schmidt@tpl.org*

**Organization Web Site:** *www.tpl.org*

**County Location:** *Crow Wing*

**Ecological Planning Regions:**

- Northern Forest       Forest/Prairie Transition       Southeast Forest  
 Prairie       Metro/Urban

**Activity Type:**

- Protect - Fee       Protect - Easement       Protect - Other  
 Restore       Enhance

**Priority Resources addressed by activity:**

- Wetlands       Forests       Prairie       Habitat

## Project Abstract

*[Provide a clear, concise summary of the proposed project's activities and outcomes. Limit response to 30 words or less.]*

*Protect 2.7 miles of Mississippi river shoreline and nearly 2,000 acres of wetland and forest/upland habitat through fee title acquisition just east of Brainerd, MN.*

---

## Project Narrative

### Design and scope of work

*[This section will describe the problem to be addressed, the scope of work, how priorities were set, and the urgency and opportunity of the proposed project/program. Be sure that the narrative answers what specific habitat will be affected, how the actions will directly restore, enhance, and/or protect prairies, wetlands, forests, or habitat for fish, game, and specific types of fish and wildlife. Describe the duration of benefits accrued from this program, and if this is a stand-alone program or part of a larger scale solution. Address the return on investment in terms of benefits of dollars spent. Include a description of the parcel selection and scoring process, if appropriate. Your narrative should also address: a) the level of stakeholder opposition to and b) involvement in this proposal.]*

*The Mississippi River is one of the world's major river systems in size, habitat diversity and biological productivity (nps.gov). It is the third longest river in North America, the fourth globally, and 18 million people and more than 50 cities depend on the Mississippi River Watershed for their water supply (Mississippi River Basin Committee, 1982), including the Twin Cities and St. Cloud.*

*According to the National Park Service:*

*The Mississippi River is home to at least 260 species of fish, which makes up 25% of all fish species in North America. Forty percent of the nation's migratory waterfowl use the river corridor during their Spring and Fall migration. Sixty percent of all North American birds (326 species) use the Mississippi River Basin as their migratory flyway. From Cairo, IL upstream to Lake Itasca there are 38 documented species of mussel. On the Lower Mississippi, there may be as many as 60 separate species of mussel. The Upper Mississippi is host to more than 50 mammal species and at least 145 species of amphibians and reptiles inhabit the Upper Mississippi River environs.*

*The effort will protect from development 2.7 miles of frontage in the headwaters region of this globally significant river, along with approximately 1,988 acres of forest land uniquely situated in the still rapidly growing Brainerd Lakes Region of Minnesota.*

*This parcel sits between two large tracts of forested public land along the Mississippi, creating a 9+ mile stretch of undeveloped shoreline. If protected, this would be one of the largest contiguous blocks of protected lake/river shoreline in the Brainerd lakes area—in addition to being one of its most scenic. The lands are adjacent to the Crow Wing County Memorial Forest, the Loerch State Wildlife Management Area, the State of Minnesota's School Trust lands and Crow Wing County tax forfeited land (managed as forestland).*

*Fish and wildlife habitats: This project represents a significant addition to a series of important habitat complexes along the Mississippi River, some of which have received funding from the Outdoor Heritage Fund in recent years. These complexes begin near the Morrison County line at the Camp Ripley ACUB south of Brainerd and connect through Crow Wing State Park to this parcel and then to Crow Wing State Forest, Cuyuna Recreation Area, Gun Lake WMA, Rice Lake NWR, Savana and Hill River State Forests, Savana Portage State Park, and ending at the UPM Blandin Upper Mississippi River Forest Legacy conservation easement in southern Itasca County.*

*The subject lands contain several unique habitat features including the Mississippi River watershed, the Sand Creek trout stream, approximately 240 acres of wetland, and forestland comprising of aspen/birch, jack, red and white pine, oak, and lowland hardwoods. The Mississippi River is clearly one of the most important freshwater resources in the State of Minnesota and the entire country. A significant portion of this property fronts the Mississippi's Stump Bay, a lake-like basin originally formed by the paper mill dam downstream in Brainerd. Stump Bay, and the larger basin around it, has characteristics of both river and lake habitats which provide a diversity of niches and support the area's best cosmopolitan fisheries, including a world-class bass fishery. The large expanse of wild rice beds in and around the bay serve as high quality spawning habitat for northern pike. Walleye hold a significant niche in this area because of the quality and stability of the immediate shore habitat. Muskellunge benefit from the bay's spawning habitat, brooding and rearing areas, and food sources. Also present here are bigmouth buffalo and three species of redhorse—all of which are dependent on healthy reservoir ecosystems and very good water quality. Loss of shoreland habitat, such as is characteristic of residential development, would eliminate these attributes and have a detrimental effect on many of these fish populations. Sand Creek, a designated trout stream, meanders for nearly one mile through the subject area. Brook trout, brown trout, and slimy sculpin are known to inhabit the stream. Protection of the lands around this trout stream is essential to ensure that the water quality, load, and temperature remain suitable for trout and other cool water aquatic species' survival.*

*As a major migratory bird route, the Mississippi River basin is used by 60% of all birds found in North America, including waterfowl, shorebirds, raptors, and neotropical songbirds. The forest and riparian forest habitats of this project area are known to support osprey, Canada goose, great blue heron, killdeer, common snipe, American woodcock, and ruffed grouse. There have been at least two bald eagle nests documented in the subject area. This section of the Mississippi River "flyway" is especially critical for waterfowl such as wood duck, mallard, blue-winged teal, green-winged teal, hooded merganser, and American coot. The open waters of the bay uniquely serve as an early spring stopover for waterfowl before the lakes thaw. The wild rice foliage found in the bay and along the shore of this proposed project area provides security cover and loafing sites for migrating ducks; the wild rice kernels provide critical food source. 240 acres of wetland found within this project area are also critical brooding and feeding area for waterfowl. As resident and migratory waterfowl numbers are dwindling in northern Minnesota, it becomes even more important to protect the (also dwindling) habitat that attracts and sustains these birds.*

*Mammals associated with the upland, wetland, lowland and riparian habitats of the project area include whitetail deer, gray wolf, coyote, red fox, river otter, beaver, muskrat, striped skunk, raccoon, opossum, weasel, mink, fisher, marten, porcupine, bobcat, black bear, red squirrel, shrews, pocket gopher, voles, bats, least chipmunk, gray squirrel, northern flying squirrel, cottontail rabbit and snowshoe hare. Reptiles and amphibians utilizing the wetland, riparian and upland habitats include the wood frog, spring peeper, western chorus frog, gray tree frog, northern leopard frog, green frog, American toad, blue-spotted*

*salamander, tiger salamander, garter snake, redbelly snake, painted turtle, snapping turtle, and Blanding's turtle, a MN DNR Threatened Species.*

*Rare, threatened, endangered, or special concern species:* *A number of occurrences of the Blanding's turtle, a MN DNR Threatened Species, have been documented on the property and there have been at least two eagle nests documented in the subject area. Red-shouldered hawks, a state special concern species, are also known to nest in the area. A concentration of freshwater mussels is documented just downstream of this project area. The contiguous forest block that is provided by this property will benefit all of these species as well as other rare species that depend on forested wetland and riparian areas.*

*Water quality values:* *Conservation of this reach of the Mississippi River would be extremely valuable in protection of water quality. Besides the river resource itself, other important water resources on the property include Stump Bay, Sand Creek, and numerous ponds and wetlands. As a designated trout stream, Sand Creek is a particularly unique water resource in the region. Without protection, the possible development of shore lands in this area would likely result in a degradation of water quality. While most homeowners leave or restore native vegetation along the shore, a substantial number create mowed lawns to the water's edge. The rainwater and associated chemical runoff (e.g., phosphorus) from lawns has been found to be substantially different than that of forested shorelines. The resulting nutrient input into water also results in algae growth and lower water clarity. In order to protect water quality during land use planning, the 2004 Crow Wing County Comprehensive Plan placed a high priority on protecting forested green space and working lands along the Mississippi River. The plan required work to identify valuable forested areas, green space, and working lands and protect them through means such as conservation easements. The Mississippi River is also an "Outstanding Resource Value Water" (ORVW) from Brainerd north. The Mississippi Headwaters Board also acts in this area to protect and preserve the Mississippi River Corridor. Like the Crow Wing County Comprehensive Plan, the Headwaters Board Comprehensive plan also supports protection and enhancement of water quality and recreational values in this area. In summary, this property is ideally suited to meet the water quality value objectives of the Mississippi Headwaters Board comprehensive plan and Crow Wing County's local water and comprehensive plans.*

*Forest management:* *The current landowner (Potlatch) has been committed to enhancing the environmental quality of its forests and the communities in which it operates. The company subscribes to Minnesota's Voluntary Site-Level Forest Management Guidelines and has developed a rigorous Environmental Management System that was recently re-audited and recertified for meeting the Sustainable Forestry Initiative (SFI) and ISO 14001 Environmental Management System standards. In addition, these lands were also certified in 2005 under the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) standard. These independent, third-party certifications document the company's sustainable forest stewardship and its commitment to the process of continual improvement (see [www.potlatchcorp.com](http://www.potlatchcorp.com) for further details.) Potlatch has harvested about 60% of the subject lands over the past 20 years; approximately 22% of which have been reforested through planting and approximately 39% by natural regeneration. The company has also carried out minor amounts of thinning. The Crow Wing County forestlands on both sides of the project is also managed under SFO and FSC. If protected, future management of this property would likely continue these standards, ensuring a 9+ mile stretch of consistent forest management.*

*Public use or benefits:* *The land to be protected buffers and enhances two other tracts of public land, resulting in a 6,207 acre block of contiguous forest lands on the Mississippi River in the popular recreational Brainerd Lakes area of north-central Minnesota. The public has had access to these waters*

*and forest lands for fishing, hunting, snowmobiling, skiing, snow-shoeing, nature viewing, hiking, restricted camping, horseback riding and other recreational activities for many years. Without protection, these opportunities for public access are at risk of being lost. The property hosts a popular snowmobile trail, important because of its proximity just 5 miles from downtown Brainerd. Conversion of forestlands into development properties in the Brainerd Lakes area has the potential to fragment forests as well as these types of recreational trail systems. Additionally, fee title acquisition of this parcel will provide raw materials for the region's forest products economy which in turn provides base economy jobs for Minnesota citizens. The public will gain in a less tangible but no less important way from the protected landscape, noise buffering and air and water quality benefits provided by these forested lands. The property is currently leased by Potlatch to a group of lessees who have increased stewardship on the property.*

*Protection of the property provides the opportunity to complete a critical linkage between the Cuyuna Lakes State Trail and the Paul Bunyan State Trail, both part of the Mississippi River Trail which is envisioned to be a 3,000 mile world class bicycling route, extending from the headwaters at Lake Itasca to the Gulf of Mexico.*

*Cultural features: Parcel lies along the southern bank of the Mississippi River, on an impounded stretch known as the "Brainerd Reservoir." In the early 1990s, the shoreline of the reservoir was the subject of an intensive field survey intended to identify archaeological sites. A considerable number of sites were located during the survey. Seven of the sites identified during the survey are located on the proposed easement property. They are all small areas containing artifacts that reflect occupation of this area prior to EuroAmerican settlement. Some of the sites contained items that can be identified to specific time periods; they indicate occupation during at least the Woodland Period (approximately 2000 to 1000 years ago) and the Archaic Period (approximately 7000 to 2000 years ago). Evaluation of the archaeological nature of the identified sites around the Brainerd Reservoir resulted in a determination that some of them are of sufficient import to qualify for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places as significant archaeological properties. The number of sites identified around the Brainerd Reservoir, and the types of materials recovered during the survey, suggest that these sites hold considerable potential for yielding important information about the prehistoric residents of the Upper Mississippi River.*

*selection: This parcel has been a high priority for Area DNR staff at Brainerd for a number of years due to fish and wildlife attributes of the Mississippi River, land fragmentation issues, and regional bike/snowmobile trail connection needs to the Cuyuna State Recreation Area and beyond. This tract is rated as having high biodiversity according to the Minnesota County Biological Survey. In 2007 and 2008, protection of this property was the Department of Natural Resource's top priority for its statewide Forest Legacy program. While numerous discussions with the landowner have occurred over the years, the opportunity is now ripe for an acquisition project.*

*opposition/involvement: There is considerable support of this effort by a large and diverse collection of stakeholders including hunting and angling clubs, community foundations, natural resource organizations and trails organizations. Letters of support will be secured from many of these stakeholders in the next month. Previous Brainerd area natural resource meetings have identified this parcel as a high priority to protect due to fish and wildlife habitat along the Mississippi River, public access it will provide for recreational use (i.e. hunting, Cuyuna Lake State Trail, etc.), and the large forestland block it protects. Opposition is expected to be low. Concerns and discussions are expected from Crow Wing County on PILT payments. Public input meetings and a comment period will be held in*

August to firm up resource attributes and recreational use desires and needs for this parcel. This input will be used by a Project Team and funding/development desires by the DNR to frame an acquisition and management vision for this parcel.

Our Project Management Team to help steer and guide this project consists of the Project Manager, JJ Levenske (Delta Waterfowl Brainerd Chapter) serving as Chair along with the following team members: Mike Burton (retired Ducks Unlimited employee, current Executive Director of the Brainerd Community Foundation), Greg Kvale (retired DNR Forester/Lands staff, Anglers for Habitat, board member Minnesota Outdoor Heritage Alliance), John Schaubach (Cuyuna Lakes Trail Association), Becca Nash (Trust for Public Land), Todd Holman (The Nature Conservancy), Gary Drotts (DNR Area Wildlife Manager), Steve Weber (Cuyuna State Recreation Area Manager), Marc Bacigalupi (DNR Area Fisheries Manager), and Wade Miller (DNR Area Park & Trails Manager).

## Planning

*[Describe the project's relationship to the Minnesota Conservation and Preservation Plan and Other Published Resource Management Plans and provide appropriate page citations. Is this project based on a science-based strategic planning and evaluation model similar to the US Fish and Wildlife Service's Strategic Habitat Conservation model? Quote the LSOHC section priorities addressed by this proposal.]*

Acquisition and restoration of this Mississippi River Northwoods Habitat Complex property furthers the goals and strategies of numerous resource management plans, including:

AMA Acquisition plan

Brainerd Lakes Area Conservation Collaborative Framework for Conservation and Recreation Planning

Crow Wing County Comprehensive, Water, and Parks plans

Long Range Duck Recovery Plan

Long Range Plan for Fisheries Management

Long Range Plan for Muskie and Pike

Minnesota Conservation and Preservation Plan

Minnesota Forest Resources North Central Landscape Region Plan

Mississippi River Headwaters Comprehensive Plan

Nongame Wildlife Plan

State Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan

The Nature Conservancy's Lake Alexander Conservation Action Plan

Tomorrow's Habitat for the Wild and Rare

Upper Miss River and Great Lakes Region Projects Joint Ventures Plan

WMA Acquisition plan

The top key issue in the Minnesota Conservation and Preservation Plan is "Land and water habitat fragmentation, degradation, loss, and conversion". Habitat Recommendation 2 of the plan identifies protection of critical shorelands of streams and lakes. The project will "restore ecoregion-appropriate, landscape-scale complexes of habitat centered on concentrations of existing remnant habitats with a broader goal of developing/maintaining conservation corridors between existing and restored habitats" (p 66). It will "critical shorelands of streams and lakes", by focusing on "shoreline buffers...[which] protect water quality by trapping, filtering and impeding runoff laden with nutrients, sediments and other pollutants" (p 67). The project will also "improve connectivity and access to outdoor recreation" (p 74), by protecting "contiguous land areas suitable for: natural resource-based outdoor recreation; shorelands; threatened habitat areas with opportunities to improve connectivity of underserved areas;

and rapidly growing areas or areas where land use changes may limit future outdoor recreation opportunities” (p 76). The plan also encourages investment in management and protection of Strategic Land Areas that affect water (p. 118)

The number 1 and 2 L-SOHC priority actions for the Northern Forest Section are: 1) Protect Shoreland and watersheds to restore or enhance critical habitat on wild rice lakes, shallow lakes, cold water lakes, streams and rivers, and spawning areas, and 2) Provide access to manage habitat on landlocked public properties or protect forest land from parcelization and fragmentation through fee acquisition, conservation or access easement. As noted in various parts of this proposal Project Narrative section, this acquisition, recreation unit designation and development address a majority of the actions indentified for the Section.

The Aquatic Management Area Acquisition Plan 2008-2033 sets a goal for protection of Minnesota’s 64,077 miles of lake and warmwater stream and river shorelands through public ownership to increase from the current 34% to 39% by 2032. ... To achieve these goals, the vision for the AMA Acquisition program is to acquire 1,100 miles of lake and warm-water stream habitat in the next 25 years from willing sellers to provide sustainable populations of fish and other aquatic species and great opportunities for angling recreation for future generations (p. 20).

Brainerd Lakes Area Conservation Collaborative report, 2004, Inventory and Assessment of Natural Resources in Crow Wing County shows this as a Priority Conservation Area (Map 9A) due to a number of factors including MCBS ranking, identified Blanding’s Turtle and Red-shouldered hawk habitat, high value riparian habitat, and the concentration of rare, threatened, and native species.

Minnesota Forest Resources Council, North Central Landscape Region plan 2004 recommends retaining contiguous blocks of forestland by encouraging the state to acquire in-holdings within natural resource emphasis areas (p. 15); protecting sensitive and/or undeveloped shoreline by encouraging local, grassroots, and government organizations (townships, lake associations, etc.) to identify and promote protection of these shorelines. (p. 16).

The Wildlife Management Area Acquisition Plan for the Northern Lakes Ecological Section recommends accelerated acquisition to protect and improve key waterfowl migration habitat; and acquisition efforts that compliment existing state and other public lands to protect critical corridors and larger blocks of habitat (p.10).

This Potlatch land block has long been identified through DNR Fish and Wildlife and TNC assessment efforts as an important shoreline/land protection need in the Brainerd lakes area. This has been evident through a forest legacy conservation easement effort lead by The Department of Natural Resources and The Trust for Public Land in 2007 and a federal assistance request by TNC culminating in a site visit by Congressman Oberstar in 2009.

### **Relationship to Other Constitutional Funds**

*[Describe how this request interfaces with the other constitutional funds, including Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund, Clean Water Fund, or Parks and Trail Fund.]*

The estimated acquisition cost for this project is \$14 million. Partners are currently discussing the use of additional Legacy funding sources (i.e. Parks and Trails) to ensure a balanced funding package that will help spread out the cost of this proposal. This project may end up being an excellent example of

leveraged and cooperative funding sources from the Legacy amendment, something visualized by many legislators, 2008 Legacy Amendment supporters, and voters.

### Relationship to Current Organizational Budget

*[Describe how the Outdoor Heritage Funding supplements or accelerates the organization’s budget. Specifically state why this request, if funded, will not substitute for traditional funding sources.]*

Traditional public agency (DNR) funding sources have not been able to tackle a project of this magnitude or scope. If implemented as proposed, this project will be an ideal example of a multiple-use project that serves to protect a fish and wildlife habitat corridor along the Mississippi River and increase public recreational access for hunting and motorized/non-motorized recreational use (e.g. Cuyuna Lakes Regional Trail).

L-SOHC funding would provide capital for the land acquisition and funding for the restoration work included in this proposal. These costs are not included in TPL’s organizational budget. Additionally, TPL staff time, legal costs, and costs for appraisals, title & environmental investigation, and survey work is not included in this request. Acquisition-associated costs incurred by the DNR as part of accepting ownership of these lands are included in the request. The L-SOHC funding will not substitute for any traditional funding sources

### Sustainability and Maintenance

*[Describe how the project outcomes will be sustained after the period of funding has ended. Provide a description of the stewardship plan. Be specific about the cost, schedule, and sources of funding.]*

Fee title acquisition efforts as a part of this project will provide permanent habitat protection for this tract, forest land timber access, and related public recreational use. Sustainable needs here are primarily PILT payments.

Development, stewardship plans and long-term sustainability of related facilities and habitat needs will be driven by what state recreational units are designated for this parcel. Based on public input and internal DNR discussions, an overall vision of these needs will be developed prior to project acquisition.

### Outcomes

*[Describe the anticipated outcomes to be obtained from this investment. Please refer to the [Heritage Fund](#) , page 54 – “Results Management Framework” for possible outcome measures.]*

This project will prevent parcelization and fragmentation and provide the ability to access and manage public properties.

The long term outcome, which leaves a legacy, is that not only will this serve as important habitat, but it also provides public access to our nationally important Mississippi River and to forestland. Forestland provides multiple enduring conservation benefits in the face of climate change and other major stressors:

- o healthy terrestrial and aquatic habitat for fish, game, and other wildlife species*
- o abundant access to forestlands for outdoor recreation*
- o healthy watersheds and clean water*



**Activity Type Detail**  
**Fee Acquisition Projects**

Will local government approval be sought prior to acquisition?

Yes                       No, please explain                       not applicable

*Local Town Board and Crow Wing County Board.*

If no, please explain here:

Is the land you plan to acquire free of any other permanent protection?

Yes                       No, please explain                       not applicable

If no, please explain here:

**Easement Acquisition Projects**

Will the eased land be open for public use?

Yes                       No, please explain                       not applicable

If no, please explain here:

Will the conservation easement be permanent?

Yes                       No, please explain                       not applicable

If no, please explain here:

**Restoration and Enhancement Projects**

Is the activity on permanently protected land and/or public waters?

Yes                       No, please explain                       not applicable

If no, please explain here:

Does the activity take place on an Aquatic Management Area (AMA), Scientific and Natural Area (SNA), Wildlife Management Area (WMA), or State Forests?

Yes, which ones       No, please explain       not applicable

If so, please indicate which ones:

Restoration activities will take place on this property once it is protected in state ownership.

### Past Outdoor Heritage Fund Appropriations Received for this program

ML 2009	ML 2010	ML 2011
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

### Accomplishment Timeline

*[Provide a timeline that tracks the program components with milestones and dates. The accomplishment timeline should align with the scope of work and budget.]*

Activity	Milestone	Date
<i>Local input meeting(s) to frame use/design needs, to meet Council presentation need in September</i>		<i>8/1-9/1/2011</i>
<i>Final use/design need settled, to meet draft accomplishment plan Council needs in November</i>		<i>November 1, 2011</i>
<i>Fee title acquisition efforts</i>		<i>Current through December 31, 2012</i>
<i>Complete acquisition, transfer land title to DNR and related recreational units</i>		<i>December 31, 2012</i>
<i>DNR to develop facility and recreational unit needs as agreed to in final design and related acquisition intent</i>		<i>July 1, 2013 – June 30, 2017</i>

**Attachments:** *[Attach the spreadsheet to the web application form.]*

**A. Budget**

**B. Proposed Output Tables 1-5**

**C. Parcel List**

**Attachment A. Budget Spreadsheet**

Name of Proposal:

Brainerd/Mississippi River: Protect High Priority Habitat Complex

Date:

June 30, 2011

[Link HERE to definitions of the budget items below.](#)

**Total Amount of Request**      \$ 14,500,000 *From page 1 on the funding form.*

**Personnel**

Position breakdown here	FTE	Over # of years	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Cash		Total
				Leverage	Cash Leverage Source	
						\$ -
						\$ -
						\$ -
						\$ -
						\$ -
						\$ -
						\$ -
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

**Budget and Cash Leverage** *(All your LSOHC Request Funds must be direct to and necessary for program outcomes.)*

*Please describe how you intend to spend the requested funds.*

Budget Item	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Cash		Total
		Leverage	Cash Leverage Source	
Personnel - <i>auto entered from above</i>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Contracts	\$ 495,000			\$ 495,000
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT <i>(breakout in table 7)</i>	\$ 14,000,000			\$ 14,000,000
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT <i>(breakout in table 7)</i>				\$ -
Easement Acquisition				\$ -
Easement Stewardship				\$ -
Travel (in-state)				\$ -
Professional Services				\$ -
Direct Support Services				\$ -
DNR Land Acquisition Costs (\$3,500 per acquisition)	\$ 5,000			\$ 5,000
Other				\$ -
Capital Equipment <i>(auto entered from below)</i>	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -
Other Equipment/Tools				\$ -
Supplies/Materials				\$ -
	\$ 14,500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 14,500,000

**Capital Equipment** *(single items over \$10,000 - auto entered into table above)*

Item Name	LSOHC Request	Leverage
<b>Total</b>	-	-

## Attachment B. Output Tables

<b>Name of Proposal:</b>	Brainerd/Mississippi River: Protect High Priority Habitat Complex
<b>Date:</b>	30-Jun-11

*Table 1 and Table 3 column totals should be the same AND Table 2 and Table 4 column totals should be the same*

*If your project has lakes or shoreline miles instead of land acres, convert miles to acres for Tables 1 and 3 using the following conversion:*

*Lakeshore = 6 acres per lakeshore mile / Stream & River Shore = 12 acres per linear mile, if both sides*

### Table 1. Acres by Resource Type

Describe the scope of the project in acres (use conversion above if needed)

	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore				100	100
Protect Fee				1988	1988
Protect Easement					0
Protect Other					0
Enhance					0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	2088	

Total Acres (sum of Total column)

2088

*These two cells should be the same figure.*

Total Acres (sum of Total row)

2088

### Table 2. Total Requested Funding by Resource Type

	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore				\$ 495,000	\$ 495,000
Protect Fee				\$ 14,005,000	\$ 14,005,000
Protect Easement					\$ -
Protect Other					\$ -
Enhance					\$ -
<b>Total</b>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 14,500,000	

Total Dollars (sum of Total column)

\$ 14,500,000

*These two cells should be the same figure.*

Total Dollars (sum of Total row)

\$ 14,500,000

Check to make sure this amount is the same as the Funding Request Amount on page 1 of Main Funding Form.

### Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section

	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	Northern Forest	Total
Restore					100	100
Protect Fee					1988	1988
Protect Easement						0
Protect Other						0
Enhance						0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	2088	

Total Acres (sum of Total column)

2088

*These three cells should be the same figure.*

Total Acres (sum of Total row)

2088

Total Acres from Table 1.

2088

**Attachment B. Output Tables**

**Table 4. Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section**

	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	Northern Forest	Total
Restore					\$ 495,000	\$ 495,000
Protect Fee					\$ 14,005,000	\$ 14,005,000
Protect Easement						\$ -
Protect Other						\$ -
Enhance						\$ -
<b>Total</b>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 14,500,000	

Total Dollars (sum of Total column) \$ 14,500,000  
 Total Dollars (sum of Total row) \$ 14,500,000  
 Check to make sure these amounts are the same as the Funding Request Amount on page 1 of Main Funding Form.

*These two cells should be the same figure.*

**Table 5. Target Lake/Stream/River Miles**

# miles of Lakes / Streams / Rivers Shoreline

**Table 6. Acquisition by PILT Status (enter information in acres)**

	Wetlands	Prairies	Forests	Habitats	Total
Acquired in Fee with State PILT Liability				1988	1988
Acquired in Fee w/o State PILT Liability					0
Permanent Easement PILT Liability					0
	0	0	0	1988	

**Table 7. Estimated Value of Land Acquisition by PILT Status (enter information in dollars)**

	Wetlands	Prairies	Forests	Habitats	Total	
Acquired in Fee with State PILT Liability				\$ 14,000,000	\$ 14,000,000	\$ 14,000,000
Acquired in Fee w/o State PILT Liability					\$ -	\$ -
Permanent Easement PILT Liability					\$ -	\$ -
	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 14,000,000		

*FYI: should match total in budget table that is auto entered below*

### Attachment C. Parcel List

**Name of Proposal:** Mississippi River Northwoods: Protect High Priority Habitat Complex  
**Date:** June 30, 2011

County	Township (25-258)	Range (01-51)	Direction most parcels are 2 with the exception of some areas of Cook County which is 1	Section (01 thru 36)	TRDS	# of acres	Budgetary Estimate (includes administrative, restoration or other related costs and do not include matching money contributed or earned by the transaction)	Description	Activity PF=Protect Fee PE=Protect Easement PO=Protect Other R=Restore E=Enhance	If Easement, what is the easement cost as a % of the fee acquisition?	Any existing protection? (yes/no)	Open to hunting and fishing? (yes/no)	
<b>Parcel Name</b>													
Mississippi River Parcel	Crow Wing	133	29	2	12	13329212	1988	14000000	Protect priority habitat	PF		No	Yes
Mississippi River Parcel	Crow Wing	133	29	2	12	43329212	100	495000	Initial site development & habit	R		No	Yes

*Information provided will be used to map project locations. Incomplete or inaccurate information will result in that parcel or program not being mapped.*