



Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council

Rum River Corridor Fish and Wildlife Habitat Enhancement - Phase 3
Laws of Minnesota 2025 Accomplishment Plan

General Information

Date: 11/01/2024

Project Title: Rum River Corridor Fish and Wildlife Habitat Enhancement - Phase 3

Funds Recommended: \$1,356,000

Legislative Citation: ML 2025, Ch. XXX, Art. 1, Sec. 2, subd.

Appropriation Language:

Manager Information

Manager's Name: Chris Lord

Title: District Manager

Organization: Anoka Conservation District

Address: 1318 McKay Dr. NE, Suite 300

City: Ham Lake, MN 55304

Email: chris.lord@anokaswcd.org

Office Number: 763-434-2030 x130

Mobile Number:

Fax Number:

Website: www.AnokaSWCD.org

Location Information

County Location(s): Isanti, Anoka and Mille Lacs.

Eco regions in which work will take place:

- Metro / Urban
- Northern Forest

Activity types:

- Enhance

Priority resources addressed by activity:

- Habitat

Narrative

Abstract

The Wild and Scenic Rum River is a State Water Trail linking Mille Lacs Lake to the Mississippi River. Providing habitat for SGCN across two ecological sections, the Rum also supports game fish and waterfowl. Land conversion, drainage, increased runoff, accelerated bank erosion, and invasive species threaten ecosystem stability of the Rum River Corridor. SWCDs, counties, watershed groups, the Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe, and The Nature Conservancy have come together to enhance walleye and smallmouth habitat and riparian ecosystems over the next 5 years by reducing erosion, installing structure, restoring hydrology, and enhancing native vegetation throughout this critical corridor.

Design and Scope of Work

The Rum River Corridor is critical for habitat and species richness for Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN), and is included in the mapped Wildlife Action Network in Minnesota's Wildlife Action Plan. High development pressure along the Rum River, historic drainage system alteration, increased stormwater runoff, sedimentation, invasive species, and hydrologic changes all threaten the stability of this key wildlife corridor.

While the Rum River continues to support a good fishery, the aforementioned threats have accelerated bank erosion and habitat degradation, undermining this robust ecosystem. Opportunities abound to enhance smallmouth bass, walleye, and other game fish habitat as well as riparian ecosystems by reducing erosion, installing structure, and enhancing native vegetation. To enhance habitat in this critical river corridor, we're partnering with Anoka County commissioners and staff, Rum River watershed organizations, Isanti and Mille Lacs SWCDs, Isanti County, the Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe, and The Nature Conservancy. We've engaged DNR East Metro Fisheries as an advisor for in-stream fish habitat components. ACD will be the grant recipient and project lead.

This proposal improves wildlife habitat throughout the Rum River Corridor in three categories: streambank and in-channel stabilization; in-stream fish habitat with a focus on game fish; and riparian forest, wetland, and prairie enhancement in the Shoreland Zone. Projects will span from the Mille Lacs Lake outlet to the confluence of the Rum and Mississippi rivers in the City of Anoka. We anticipate enhancing 800 feet of streambank, 350 feet of in-stream fish habitat, and 27 acres of habitat.

Approximately 40 miles of shoreline are publicly owned along the Rum River where shoreline stabilization and fish habitat enhancement will be prioritized, improving opportunities for quality shore fishing. Eighty actively eroding streambanks in need of shoreline stabilization and enhancement were inventoried in Anoka County alone. With guidance from DNR, in-stream features such as rock vanes and woody overhangs will be installed to provide holding and resting areas for game fish and forage in stretches of the river where structure is lacking.

Riparian habitat enhancement will extend throughout portions of the 2,500 acres of tribal, publicly owned, and permanently protected lands in the Shoreland Zone to enhance the connectedness and function of the corridor for wildlife. The type of enhancement will be based on habitat types present (forest, wetland, and prairie) and conservation need, including rare species. Enhancing each of these areas will help ensure this valuable corridor remains functionally intact for all types of wildlife from fish to migrating birds, and will improve recreational quality on a Wild, Scenic, and Recreational River.

Phase 1 work to address eroding Rum riverbanks in Anoka County with wildlife-friendly bioengineering techniques will be complete by the end of 2024. Phase 2 expanded the eligible area of this work to include all of the

Rum River Corridor and added in-stream fish habitat and riparian forest, wetland, and prairie enhancement in the Shoreland Zone. Phase 2 is on pace for completion in 2026.

Explain how the plan addresses habitat protection, restoration, and/or enhancement for fish, game & wildlife, including threatened or endangered species conservation

The value of the Rum River as a wildlife corridor lies in its continuity, connectivity, and habitat quality. The Rum River Corridor is a narrow landscape feature under constant pressure of fragmentation and degradation. Although protected with Wild, Scenic, and Recreational standards, large properties along the Rum River continue to be subdivided and developed. Properties that are publicly owned or protected do not always have wildlife habitat as a primary function or purpose. This project will reconnect and enhance habitat connections laterally down the corridor and perpendicular across in-stream, riparian, and shoreland zone habitats.

A continuous, lateral corridor particularly benefits species like predatory game fish seeking areas to feed and spawn throughout the channel, and migrating wildlife like waterfowl and monarchs that follow connected corridors in flight. Cross-corridor habitat connections linking aquatic, floodplain, and terrestrial habitats benefit species like amphibians, turtles and other reptiles, and nesting waterfowl in the spring that depend on these transitional zones. Reconnecting eroding and degraded gaps in high quality habitat both down and across the corridor will benefit a multitude of other wildlife species.

Project designs will incorporate wildlife friendly elements and traversable infrastructure for amphibians and reptiles that often get trapped in traditional riprap projects. SGCN and wildlife in general will benefit from these practices and design elements.

The Rum River Corridor is a key river stretch for habitat and species richness for SGCN. The threatened turtle species *Emydoidea blandingii* (Blanding's Turtle) has been documented numerous times, as well as *Glyptemys insculpta* (wood turtle) once. Two special concern mussel species were found in the Rum River during the 2004 statewide mussel survey, *Ligumia recta* (Black Sandshell) and *Lasmigona compressa* (Creek Heelsplitter). Additionally, special concern terrestrial species found near the Rum River include *Parkesia motacilla* (Louisiana waterthrush), *Pituophis catenifer* (Gophersnake), and *Buteo lineatus* (Red-shouldered hawk).

What are the elements of this plan that are critical from a timing perspective?

This proposal builds on momentum from successful Phase 1 and 2 grants, which are both on schedule for completion.

An ACD riverbank erosion inventory prompted a multi-pronged, phased plan between ACD and Anoka County to address the expansive erosion and habitat loss on the Rum River. Acknowledging the Rum's local and regional importance, Anoka County pledged over \$400,000 in match for grant acquisition over a 5-year period to enhance the Rum River. LSOHC, Clean Water Fund, and Conservation Partners Legacy funds are being leveraged in unison to achieve the greatest benefit possible. Clean Water Fund dollars are stabilizing the largest eroding streambanks with the most water quality benefit, while OHF and CPL funds are being used to enhance habitat and eroding shorelines suitable to bioengineered solutions. The majority of local match is allocated to OHF grants as the cornerstone funding source to holistically address the Rum River Corridor.

Describe how the plan expands habitat corridors or complexes and/or addresses habitat fragmentation:

Properties in public and tribal ownership, protected by permanent conservation easement, or within the public waterway will be targeted for habitat connectivity across habitat types. These locations ensure that projects will never be removed and maximizes the value to those who recreate along the river. Approximately 69 miles of Rum River shoreline are in permanent conservation easement or tribal or public ownership. Strategically enhancing habitat in areas like parks and easements where shoreline is mowed to the edge, or degraded floodplain areas exist will help to ensure we keep the Rum River Corridor as in-tact as possible for wildlife. Many of the areas of biodiversity significance and rare habitats mapped along the Rum River occur in these public and protected properties.

Streambank erosion is accelerating due to increased flow. To address habitat fragmentation from streambank erosion, a 360° photo-inventory and GIS analysis of streambank condition of the Rum River through Anoka County were combined to identify 80 damaged and eroding riverbank stretches. The stretches were categorized by optimal stabilization approach, and an annual sediment load was calculated for each using the WI NRCS Field Office Technical Guide for streambank erosion. All 80 stretches identified were ranked by cost effectiveness for water quality benefit. A similar process is planned for 2024 in upstream counties. Only those projects with a high potential for habitat enhancing bioengineering approaches, and a high-ranking cost effectiveness for water quality improvement, will be considered for this project.

The Rum River Corridor is part of the Wildlife Action Network mapped in Minnesota's Wildlife Action Plan. Additionally, the MN County Biological Survey identifies 19 unique native plant communities and 17 sites of moderate to outstanding biodiversity significance along the Rum River. There are also 35 instances of 13 unique rare species or habitats mapped on the Natural Heritage Information System immediately adjacent to the Rum River through Anoka and Isanti Counties, including two threatened turtle species (Blanding's Turtle and Wood Turtle). While outstanding biodiversity, native habitats, and even rare species exist along the Rum River, habitat loss and fragmentation are constant realities in developing areas.

Which top 2 Conservation Plans referenced in MS97A.056, subd. 3a are most applicable to this project?

- Minnesota's Wildlife Action Plan 2015-2025
- Outdoor Heritage Fund: A 25 Year Framework

Explain how this plan will uniquely address habitat resilience to climate change and its anticipated effects on game, fish & wildlife species utilizing the protected or restored/enhanced habitat this proposal targets.

With a nearly one million-acre watershed, the Rum River is particularly sensitive to climate change related precipitation variations. Increased magnitude and frequency of intense precipitation events and periods of drought will result in more dramatic water level fluctuations. This proposal will uniquely increase resilience to these climate change stressors and directly benefit game, fish, and wildlife species.

Enhancing in-stream habitat diversity provides species with a variety of options as water level and temperature are impacted by climate change.

Riverbank stabilization protects the river from excessive sedimentation and riparian habitat loss. Incorporation of

bioengineering further increases resiliency via self-repair through re-growth.

Within riparian areas, increased buffer widths protect the corridor from erosion due to increased overland flow. Increased connectivity also enables species to move and adapt to changing environmental conditions.

Wetland enhancement increases water storage capacity to mitigate the effects of extreme precipitation events and provides increased habitat diversity.

Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program?

Metro / Urban

- Protect, enhance, and restore riparian and littoral habitats on lakes to benefit game and nongame fish species

Northern Forest

- Restore and enhance habitat on existing protected properties, with preference to habitat for rare, endangered, or threatened species identified by the Minnesota County Biological Survey

Outcomes

Programs in metropolitan urbanizing region:

- A network of natural land and riparian habitats will connect corridors for wildlife and species in greatest conservation need ~ *In the Metropolitan Urbanizing Region of Anoka and Isanti Counties, this project will enhance an estimated 23.2 acres and 1,000 feet of critical habitat in the Rum River Corridor, a key river reach for SGCN, part of the Wildlife Action Network, and a state designated Outstanding Resource Value Water. We will be enhancing a vital habitat corridor (shoreland to floodplain to in-stream) and improving water quality in one of the highest quality metro rivers in the state that is at high risk of degradation.*

Programs in the northern forest region:

- Increased availability and improved condition of riparian forests and other habitat corridors ~ *In the Northern Forest Region of Mille Lacs County, this project will enhance an estimated 4.2 acres and 150 feet of critical habitat in the Rum River Corridor, a key river reach for SGCN, part of the Wildlife Action Network, and a state designated Outstanding Resource Value Water. Water quality will be further protected in a very high quality resource upstream of reaches at risk of degradation.*

Per MS 97A.056, Subd. 24, Please explain whether the request is supplanting or is a substitution for any previous funding that was not from a legacy fund and was used for the same purpose.

This request does not supplant or substitute for any current or previous funds used for the same purpose.

How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended?

ACD and its partners are dedicated to sustaining the Rum River as a high quality water, ecological, recreational, hunting, and fishing resource and wildlife corridor. A variety of additional projects and funding sources are planned into the future to continue to build and sustain Rum River habitat and water quality. ACD will continue its legacy of streambank stabilization and habitat enhancement projects utilizing state and local funding sources. In 2022, ACD, 13 other local units of government, and the Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe formed the Rum River Watershed Partnership with the purpose of implementing the Rum River Comprehensive Watershed Management Plan that

we developed together.

Through this project, we will work with all tribal land, public land, and easement managers along the Rum River to maximize habitat value in the corridor. Maintenance of completed projects will be performed by individual landowners or managers through maintenance agreements as part of individual project contracts. ACD holds maintenance agreements with many landowners, both public and private, with similar projects installed on their properties. ACD has a well-established inspection program through which we enforce maintenance agreements. Lastly, easement agreements and deed restrictions are recorded to properties when warranted to ensure long-term maintenance.

Actions to Maintain Project Outcomes

Year	Source of Funds	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
Years 1, 3, 5, and 9 after installation	ACD	Site inspections by ACD	Work with project manager to bring maintenance into compliance with maintenance agreement.	-
Annual	Project Manager	Maintenance plan developed site-by-site and by enhancement type with project manager.	Funding for individual projects contingent on signed maintenance agreement or maintenance schedule.	Follow-ups with landowner/manager on maintenance needs and provide any necessary technical assistance.

Provide an assessment of how your program celebrates cultural diversity or reaches diverse communities in Minnesota, including reaching low- and moderate-income households:

ACD and our partners at the Isanti and Mille Lacs SWCDs each have on-staff Outreach Coordinators who work together from a single work plan to engage and connect all communities in the watershed. We actively engage with city, county, watershed, and non-governmental entities to remove language, cultural, and economic barriers to enjoying the benefits of Minnesota’s vast array of natural resources. Outreach includes project tours, workshops, print, video, and display media. ACD structures website content to remove barriers due to language or impairment. Enhancing habitat in publicly accessible areas for wildlife viewing and shore fishing success expands opportunities for low cost recreation. These projects will make nature and wildlife more accessible to people who live in city centers or do not own large properties.

Additionally, the Rum River begins in the Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe tribal lands. The Band is actively working on projects to improve habitat, and particularly wild rice, in the upper reaches of the Rum River through Lakes Ogechie, Shakopee and Onamia. Collaborating with the Band on projects in tribal lands and continuing these efforts downstream with this funding furthers that work and its effectiveness in the corridor. It also improves habitat and recreational value to the people of the Mille Lacs Band.

Activity Details

Requirements

If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056?

Yes

Will restoration and enhancement work follow best management practices including MS 84.973 Pollinator Habitat Program?

Yes

Is the restoration and enhancement activity on permanently protected land per 97A.056, Subd 13(f), tribal lands, and/or public waters per MS 103G.005, Subd. 15 or on lands to be acquired in this program?

Yes

Where does the activity take place?

- Permanently Protected Conservation Easements
- WMA
- County/Municipal
- Public Waters
- Other : Tribal Land
- AMA

Land Use

Will there be planting of any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program, either by the proposer or the end owner of the property, outside of the initial restoration of the land?

No

Will insecticides or fungicides (including neonicotinoid and fungicide treated seed) be used within any activities of this program either in the process of restoration or use as food plots?

No

Timeline

Activity Name	Estimated Completion Date
19 acres of riparian habitat enhancement	Dec/2027
7 acres of wetland habitat enhanced	Dec/2028
800 linear feet of eroding bank stabilized	Dec/2028
350 linear feet of fish habitat installed at public fishing areas	Dec/2028
Project prioritization, ranking, and feasibility with partners	Dec/2025

Date of Final Report Submission: 11/01/2030

Availability of Appropriation: Subd. 7. Availability of Appropriation

(a) Money appropriated in this section may not be spent on activities unless they are directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation and are specified in the accomplishment plan approved by the Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council. Money appropriated in this section must not be spent on indirect costs or other institutional overhead charges that are not directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation. Money appropriated for fee title acquisition of land may be used to restore, enhance, and provide for public use of the land acquired with the appropriation. Public-use facilities must have a minimal impact on habitat in acquired lands.

(b) Money appropriated in this section is available as follows:

- (1) money appropriated for acquiring real property is available until June 30, 2029;
- (2) money appropriated for restoring and enhancing land acquired with an appropriation in this section is available for four years after the acquisition date with a maximum end date of June 30, 2033;
- (3) money appropriated for restoring or enhancing other land is available until June 30, 2030;
- (4) notwithstanding clauses (1) to (3), money appropriated for a project that receives at least 15 percent of its funding from federal funds is available until a date sufficient to match the availability of federal funding to a

maximum of six years if the federal funding was confirmed and included in the original approved draft accomplishment plan; and

(5) money appropriated for other projects is available until the end of the fiscal year in which it is appropriated.

Budget

Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan.

Totals

Item	Funding Request	Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$210,200	\$5,000	TNC, N/A	\$215,200
Contracts	\$1,051,000	\$145,000	Anoka County, ACD, TNC, WMOs, DSS	\$1,196,000
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	-	-	-	-
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	-	-	-	-
Easement Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Easement Stewardship	-	-	-	-
Travel	-	-	-	-
Professional Services	\$52,700	-	-	\$52,700
Direct Support Services	\$31,500	-	-	\$31,500
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	-	-	-	-
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other Equipment/Tools	\$5,300	-	-	\$5,300
Supplies/Materials	\$5,300	-	-	\$5,300
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-
Grand Total	\$1,356,000	\$150,000	-	\$1,506,000

Personnel

Position	Annual FTE	Years Working	Funding Request	Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
TNC Restoration Ecologist	0.01	5.0	-	\$5,000	TNC	\$5,000
ACD District Manager	0.03	5.0	\$31,500	-	N/A	\$31,500
ACD Principal	0.06	5.0	\$70,400	-	N/A	\$70,400
ACD Specialist	0.12	5.0	\$105,100	-	N/A	\$105,100
ACD Administrator	0.01	5.0	\$3,200	-	N/A	\$3,200

Amount of Request: \$1,356,000

Amount of Leverage: \$150,000

Leverage as a percent of the Request: 11.06%

DSS + Personnel: \$241,700

As a % of the total request: 17.82%

Easement Stewardship: -

As a % of the Easement Acquisition: -

How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?

The project budget was scaled proportionately. Deliverables were scaled approximately proportionately based on opportunity and current momentum in Phase 2.

Detail leverage sources and confirmation of funds:

Anoka County - \$61,500 - Confirmed

Anoka Conservation District (ACD) DSS - \$32,000 - Confirmed

ACD - \$6,000 - Confirmed

The Nature Conservancy - \$30,000 - Confirmed

Upper and Lower Rum Watershed Management Organizations - \$20,500 - Confirmed

Does this project have the ability to be scalable?

Yes

If the project received 50% of the requested funding

Describe how the scaling would affect acres/activities and if not proportionately reduced, why?

The project would be scaled proportionately.

Describe how personnel and DSS expenses would be adjusted and if not proportionately reduced, why?

The personnel and DSS expenses would be scaled proportionately.

Personnel

Has funding for these positions been requested in the past?

No

Contracts

What is included in the contracts line?

100% of the dollars in the contract line will be spent on contracted enhancement work along the Rum River. All project development, survey, and design work will be performed under Professional Services and Personnel line items.

Professional Services

What is included in the Professional Services line?

- Design/Engineering

Direct Support Services

How did you determine which portions of the Direct Support Services of your shared support services is direct to this program?

ACD tracks every staff hour by the individual program it is worked toward. This rate will be applied only to those staff hours directly logged toward this grant program under the personnel budget in the tables provided. ACD calculated its DNR-reviewed DSS rate at 30.25% following USDA guidelines. Less than half of this rate will be requested for reimbursement (15%).

Other Equipment/Tools

Give examples of the types of Equipment and Tools that will be purchased?

Site assessment - survey rods, survey tripods, waders

Installation/construction management - shovels, hammers, mallets, driving rods, planting bars, plug augers for drills

Federal Funds

Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program?

No

Output Tables**Acres by Resource Type (Table 1)**

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Acres
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	27	27
Total	-	-	-	27	27

Total Requested Funding by Resource Type (Table 2)

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	\$1,356,000	\$1,356,000
Total	-	-	-	\$1,356,000	\$1,356,000

Acres within each Ecological Section (Table 3)

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Acres
Restore	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	23	-	-	-	4	27
Total	23	-	-	-	4	27

Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section (Table 4)

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	\$1,194,500	-	-	-	\$161,500	\$1,356,000
Total	\$1,194,500	-	-	-	\$161,500	\$1,356,000

Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type (Table 5)

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat
Restore	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	\$50,222

Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section (Table 6)

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-

Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	\$51,934	-	-	-	\$40,375

Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles

1,150 feet

Parcels

Parcel Information

Sign-up Criteria?

No

Explain the process used to identify, prioritize, and select the parcels on your list:

The parcels listed are the parcels in public ownership adjacent to the Rum River. We will work with property managers at all levels of public ownership, as well as landowners with properties in permanent conservation easements or within the public waterway, and tribal lands to address conservation and habitat needs on individual properties.

Restore / Enhance Parcels

Name	County	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection	Description
100	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
101	Anoka	03324232	-	-	Yes	County Park
102	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
103	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
104	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
105	Anoka	03324232	-	-	Yes	County Park
106	Anoka	03224206	-	-	Yes	County Park
107	Anoka	03224206	-	-	Yes	County Park
108	Anoka	03224206	-	-	Yes	County Park
109	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
110	Anoka	03324232	-	-	Yes	County Park
111	Anoka	03424232	-	-	Yes	County Park
112	Anoka	03225236	-	-	Yes	City Park
113	Anoka	03225212	-	-	Yes	City Park
114	Anoka	03225225	-	-	Yes	City Park
115	Anoka	03225201	-	-	Yes	City Park
116	Anoka	03224219	-	-	Yes	City Park
117	Anoka	03125212	-	-	Yes	City Park
118	Anoka	03125212	-	-	Yes	City Park
119	Anoka	03125212	-	-	Yes	City Park
120	Anoka	03125201	-	-	Yes	City Park
121	Anoka	03125201	-	-	Yes	City Park
122	Anoka	03125201	-	-	Yes	City Park
123	Anoka	03125201	-	-	Yes	City Park
124	Anoka	03225236	-	-	Yes	City Park
125	Anoka	03224230	-	-	Yes	City Park
126	Anoka	03125212	-	-	Yes	City Park
127	Anoka	03125201	-	-	Yes	City Park
128	Anoka	03225212	-	-	Yes	City Park
129	Anoka	03224230	-	-	Yes	City Park
130	Anoka	03224207	-	-	Yes	City Park
131	Anoka	03224219	-	-	Yes	City Park
132	Anoka	03224230	-	-	Yes	City Park
133	Anoka	03224207	-	-	Yes	City Park
134	Anoka	03224230	-	-	Yes	City Park
135	Anoka	03225225	-	-	Yes	City Park
136	Anoka	03224230	-	-	Yes	City Park
137	Anoka	03224231	-	-	Yes	County Park
138	Anoka	03225236	-	-	Yes	County Park


139	Anoka	03125212	-	-	Yes	City Park
140	Anoka	03125212	-	-	Yes	City Park
141	Anoka	03125212	-	-	Yes	City Park
142	Anoka	03125201	-	-	Yes	City Park
143	Anoka	03125201	-	-	Yes	City Park
144	Anoka	03125201	-	-	Yes	City Park
145	Anoka	03125201	-	-	Yes	City Park
146	Anoka	03125212	-	-	Yes	City Park
147	Anoka	03125201	-	-	Yes	City Park
148	Anoka	03125212	-	-	Yes	City Park
149	Anoka	03125212	-	-	Yes	City Park
150	Anoka	03125212	-	-	Yes	City Park
151	Anoka	03125201	-	-	Yes	City Park
152	Anoka	03125201	-	-	Yes	City Park
153	Anoka	03125201	-	-	Yes	City Park
154	Anoka	03125201	-	-	Yes	City Park
155	Anoka	03125201	-	-	Yes	City Park
156	Anoka	03124206	-	-	Yes	City Park
157	Anoka	03124206	-	-	Yes	City Park
158	Anoka	03124206	-	-	Yes	City Park
159	Anoka	03124206	-	-	Yes	City Park
160	Anoka	03124206	-	-	Yes	City Park
161	Anoka	03124206	-	-	Yes	City Park
162	Anoka	03125201	-	-	Yes	City Park
163	Anoka	03124206	-	-	Yes	City Park
164	Anoka	03124206	-	-	Yes	City Park
165	Anoka	03124206	-	-	Yes	City Park
166	Anoka	03124206	-	-	Yes	City Park
167	Anoka	03125201	-	-	Yes	City Park
168	Anoka	03124206	-	-	Yes	City Park
169	Anoka	03124206	-	-	Yes	City Park
170	Anoka	03124206	-	-	Yes	County Property
171	Anoka	03224231	-	-	Yes	County Property
172	Anoka	03224231	-	-	Yes	County Property
173	Anoka	03224231	-	-	Yes	State
174	Anoka	03225236	-	-	Yes	State
175	Anoka	03224231	-	-	Yes	State
176	Anoka	03125201	-	-	Yes	State
177	Anoka	03225225	-	-	Yes	State
178	Anoka	03225224	-	-	Yes	State
179	Anoka	03225213	-	-	Yes	County Park
180	Anoka	03324220	-	-	Yes	State
181	Anoka	03324208	-	-	Yes	City Park
182	Anoka	03424232	-	-	Yes	City Park
48	Anoka	03324205	-	-	Yes	City Park
49	Anoka	03324205	-	-	Yes	City Park
50	Anoka	03324208	-	-	Yes	City Park
51	Anoka	03324208	-	-	Yes	City Park
52	Anoka	03324208	-	-	Yes	City Park
53	Anoka	03324217	-	-	Yes	City Park
54	Anoka	03424232	-	-	Yes	County Park
55	Anoka	03424232	-	-	Yes	County Park
56	Anoka	03424232	-	-	Yes	County Park
57	Anoka	03424232	-	-	Yes	County Park
58	Anoka	03424232	-	-	Yes	County Park
59	Anoka	03424232	-	-	Yes	County Park
60	Anoka	03424232	-	-	Yes	County Park

61	Anoka	03424232	-	-	Yes	County Park
62	Anoka	03424232	-	-	Yes	County Park
63	Anoka	03424232	-	-	Yes	County Park
64	Anoka	03224206	-	-	Yes	County Park
65	Anoka	03224206	-	-	Yes	County Park
66	Anoka	03224206	-	-	Yes	County Park
67	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
68	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
69	Anoka	03324232	-	-	Yes	County Park
70	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
71	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
72	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
73	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
74	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
75	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
76	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
77	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
78	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
79	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
80	Anoka	03324232	-	-	Yes	County Park
81	Anoka	03324232	-	-	Yes	County Park
82	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
83	Anoka	03324232	-	-	Yes	County Park
84	Anoka	03224206	-	-	Yes	County Park
85	Anoka	03224206	-	-	Yes	County Park
86	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
87	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
88	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
89	Anoka	03324232	-	-	Yes	County Park
90	Anoka	03224206	-	-	Yes	County Park
91	Anoka	03224206	-	-	Yes	County Park
92	Anoka	03224206	-	-	Yes	County Park
93	Anoka	03224207	-	-	Yes	County Park
94	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
95	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
96	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
97	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
98	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
99	Anoka	03324231	-	-	Yes	County Park
1	Isanti	03524225	-	-	Yes	State
10	Isanti	03623229	-	-	Yes	City Park
11	Isanti	03623232	-	-	Yes	City Park
12	Isanti	03623232	-	-	Yes	City Park
13	Isanti	03623218	-	-	Yes	State
14	Isanti	03623218	-	-	Yes	State
15	Isanti	03623229	-	-	Yes	State
16	Isanti	03624214	-	-	Yes	State
17	Isanti	03624213	-	-	Yes	State
18	Isanti	03624213	-	-	Yes	State
183	Isanti	03424215	-	-	Yes	State
184	Isanti	03424215	-	-	Yes	State
185	Isanti	03424215	-	-	Yes	State
186	Isanti	03424215	-	-	Yes	County Park
187	Isanti	03424211	-	-	Yes	City Park
188	Isanti	03424214	-	-	Yes	City Park
189	Isanti	03424211	-	-	Yes	State
19	Isanti	03624224	-	-	Yes	State

190	Isanti	03424211	-	-	Yes	State
191	Isanti	03424211	-	-	Yes	State
192	Isanti	03424211	-	-	Yes	State
193	Isanti	03424211	-	-	Yes	State
194	Isanti	03623217	-	-	Yes	State
2	Isanti	03523218	-	-	Yes	State
20	Isanti	03624224	-	-	Yes	State
21	Isanti	03624224	-	-	Yes	State
22	Isanti	03624224	-	-	Yes	County Park
23	Isanti	03624224	-	-	Yes	County Park
24	Isanti	03624231	-	-	Yes	State
25	Isanti	03624227	-	-	Yes	State
26	Isanti	03624227	-	-	Yes	State
27	Isanti	03624222	-	-	Yes	State
28	Isanti	03625236	-	-	Yes	State
29	Isanti	03525201	-	-	Yes	State
3	Isanti	03523219	-	-	Yes	City Park
30	Isanti	03525201	-	-	Yes	State
31	Isanti	03525201	-	-	Yes	State
32	Isanti	03525205	-	-	Yes	State
4	Isanti	03523206	-	-	Yes	County Park
5	Isanti	03523206	-	-	Yes	City Park
6	Isanti	03523205	-	-	Yes	State
7	Isanti	03523205	-	-	Yes	State
8	Isanti	03523205	-	-	Yes	State
9	Isanti	03623232	-	-	Yes	City Park
195	Mille Lacs	03626203	-	-	Yes	State
196	Mille Lacs	04027225	-	-	Yes	State
33	Mille Lacs	03626233	-	-	Yes	City Park
34	Mille Lacs	03626233	-	-	Yes	City Park
35	Mille Lacs	03626228	-	-	Yes	City Park
36	Mille Lacs	03626233	-	-	Yes	City Park
37	Mille Lacs	03626228	-	-	Yes	City Park
38	Mille Lacs	03626209	-	-	Yes	State
39	Mille Lacs	03626210	-	-	Yes	State
40	Mille Lacs	03827223	-	-	Yes	City Park
41	Mille Lacs	03827226	-	-	Yes	City Park
42	Mille Lacs	03827226	-	-	Yes	City Park
43	Mille Lacs	03827236	-	-	Yes	City Park
44	Mille Lacs	03827226	-	-	Yes	City Park
45	Mille Lacs	04027226	-	-	Yes	State
46	Mille Lacs	04227220	-	-	Yes	State

47	Mille Lacs	04227225	-	-	Yes	Tribal
----	---------------	----------	---	---	-----	--------

Parcel Map



- Protect in Easement
- ▲ Protect in Fee with PILT
- Protect in Fee W/O PILT
- ★ Restore
- ✕ Enhance
- ⊕ Other



Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council

Rum River Corridor Fish and Wildlife Habitat Enhancement - Phase 3 Comparison Report

Program Title: ML 2025 - Rum River Corridor Fish and Wildlife Habitat Enhancement - Phase 3

Organization: Anoka Conservation District

Manager: Chris Lord

Budget

Requested Amount: \$1,974,100

Appropriated Amount: \$1,356,000

Percentage: 68.69%

Item	Requested Proposal	Leverage Proposal	Appropriated AP	Leverage AP	Percent of Request	Percent of Leverage
Personnel	\$306,000	\$5,000	\$210,200	\$5,000	68.69%	100.0%
Contracts	\$1,530,300	\$213,200	\$1,051,000	\$145,000	68.68%	68.01%
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	-	-	-	-	-	-
Easement Acquisition	-	-	-	-	-	-
Easement Stewardship	-	-	-	-	-	-
Travel	-	-	-	-	-	-
Professional Services	\$76,500	-	\$52,700	-	68.89%	-
Direct Support Services	\$45,900	-	\$31,500	-	68.63%	-
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other Equipment/Tools	\$7,700	-	\$5,300	-	68.83%	-
Supplies/Materials	\$7,700	-	\$5,300	-	68.83%	-
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Total	\$1,974,100	\$218,200	\$1,356,000	\$150,000	68.69%	68.74%

If the project received 70% of the requested funding

Describe how the scaling would affect acres/activities and if not proportionately reduced, why?

The project would be scaled proportionately.

Describe how personnel and DSS expenses would be adjusted and if not proportionately reduced, why?

The personnel and DSS expenses would be scaled proportionately.

If the project received 50% of the requested funding

Describe how the scaling would affect acres/activities and if not proportionately reduced, why?

The project would be scaled proportionately.

Describe how personnel and DSS expenses would be adjusted and if not proportionately reduced, why?

The personnel and DSS expenses would be scaled proportionately.

Output

Acres by Resource Type (Table 1)

Type	Total Proposed	Total in AP	Percentage of Proposed
Restore	0	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	0	-	-
Protect in Easement	0	-	-
Enhance	47	27	57.45%

Total Requested Funding by Resource Type (Table 2)

Type	Total Proposed	Total in AP	Percentage of Proposed
Restore	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-
Enhance	\$1,974,100	\$1,356,000	68.69%

Acres within each Ecological Section (Table 3)

Type	Total Proposed	Total in AP	Percentage of Proposed
Restore	0	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	0	-	-
Protect in Easement	0	-	-
Enhance	47	27	57.45%

Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section (Table 4)

Type	Total Proposed	Total in AP	Percentage of Proposed
Restore	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-
Enhance	\$1,974,100	\$1,356,000	68.69%