

## **Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council**

Metro Big Rivers Phase 13 Laws of Minnesota 2023 Accomplishment Plan

## **General Information**

Date: 05/17/2024

**Project Title:** Metro Big Rivers Phase 13

Funds Recommended: \$15,339,000

Legislative Citation: ML 2023, Ch. 40, Art. 1, Sec. 2, subd. 5(j)

**Appropriation Language:** \$15,339,000 the first year is to the commissioner of natural resources for agreements to acquire land in fee and permanent conservation easements and to restore and enhance natural habitat systems associated with the Mississippi, Minnesota, and St. Croix Rivers and their tributaries in the metropolitan area as follows: \$700,000 to Minnesota Valley Trust; \$540,000 to Friends of the Mississippi River; \$928,000 to Great River Greening; \$11,171,000 to Trust for Public Land; and \$2,000,000 to Minnesota Land Trust. Up to \$192,000 to Minnesota Land Trust is to establish a monitoring and enforcement fund as approved in the accomplishment plan and subject to Minnesota Statutes, section 97A.056, subdivision 17. A list of proposed land acquisitions and permanent conservation easements must be provided as part of the required accomplishment plan.

## **Manager Information**

Manager's Name: Deborah Loon

**Title:** Executive Director

**Organization:** MN Valley Trust (Metro Big Rivers)

Address: 3815 East American Boulevard

City: Bloomington, MN 55425 Email: DLoon@mnvalleytrust.org Office Number: 612-801-1935 Mobile Number: 612-801-1935

Fax Number:

Website: www.mnvalleytrust.org

#### **Location Information**

**County Location(s):** Washington, Carver, Dakota, Isanti, Anoka, Sibley, Chisago, Scott, Hennepin, Ramsey and Wright.

#### Eco regions in which work will take place:

Metro / Urban

#### **Activity types:**

- Protect in Easement
- Protect in Fee
- Restore
- Enhance

### Priority resources addressed by activity:

- Wetlands
- Prairie
- Forest
- Habitat

## **Narrative**

#### **Abstract**

Metro Big Rivers Phase 13 will protect 875 acres in fee title and 180 acres in permanent conservation easement, restore 24 acres and enhance 170 acres of priority habitat in the big rivers corridors in the Metropolitan Urbanizing Area (1,249 acres total). Partners will leverage OHF grants 32% with partner funds, private donations, local government contributions, and landowner donations of easement value. Significant volunteer engagement will be invested in habitat enhancement activities. MBR projects benefit wildlife and species in greatest need of conservation (SGCN) and provide increased public access and nature connections for metro residents.

## **Design and Scope of Work**

Metro Big Rivers Phase 13 will protect, restore and enhance prioritized wildlife habitat in the MUA, with an emphasis on the Mississippi, Minnesota and St. Croix Rivers and tributaries. Metro Big Rivers' work benefits wildlife and species in greatest need of conservation (SGCN), improves water quality and in-stream food (insect) availability, increases public access for wildlife-based recreation (hunting and fishing) and connects all metro residents with nature near them.

Friends of the Mississippi River (FMR) will restore/enhance 145 acres at 5 sites. Projects include removing invasive woody and herbaceous plants, planting, seeding, mowing, spot-spraying, and prescribed burning.

- Applewood Preserve: Restore 5 acres prairie and enhance 20 acres forest
- Carver Preserve: Restore 10 acres prairie and enhance 15 acres woodland
- Vermillion River AMA (2 sites): Enhance 50 acres riparian forest
- Hastings Sand Coulee SNA: Enhance 29 acres forest and 11 acres of remnant native prairie
- Davis Farm Park: Create management plan for 16 acres, enhance 8 acres forest

Great River Greening (GRG) will restore/enhance 49 acres and 3.5 miles shoreline across 3 sites. Projects include restoration and stabilization of 2 miles of stream bank (1 mile both sides) and 1.5 miles lakeshore, as well as removing invasive woody and herbaceous species, mowing, spot spraying, seeding and planting.

- Lake Ann Park: Enhance 35 acres forest along 1 mile lakeshore
- South Fork of 9 Mile Creek: Restore and stabilize 2 miles of stream bank
- Loeb Lake Shoreline: Restore and stabilize 0.5 miles of shoreline and buffer around Loeb Lake

Minnesota Land Trust (MLT) will protect through perpetual conservation easement 180 acres of priority habitat, including riparian lands, forests, wetlands and grasslands. Projects will be selected through a competitive process

that ranks proposals based on ecological significance and cost (criteria attached).

Minnesota Valley Trust (MVT) will protect through fee acquisition 175 acres of river frontage, floodplain forest, wetland and upland habitat to expand the Minnesota Valley National Wildlife Refuge. Prospective lands have been prioritized by the USFWS and will be restored/enhanced, then open for wildlife-based recreation, including hunting and fishing.

The Trust for Public Land (TPL) will protect through fee acquisition 700 acres of priority wildlife habitat. Prospective sites are prioritized in state, regional, and local natural resource plans. Lands will be managed by public partners and open for wildlife-based recreation, including hunting and fishing. A priority project would create a significant new WMA in the metro area - Keystone Woods, Washington County. This 2,600+ acre property has high biodiversity, numerous lakes and excellent habitat for wildlife, waterfowl and fish. Protecting it will create a habitat conservation corridor to the St. Croix River and provide quality close-to-home hunting and fishing opportunities for metro area residents. Of the 2,600 acres, TPL will acquire 1,840 acres with OHF through the Metro Big Rivers and St. Croix programs (700 acres with this grant). Washington County will acquire 760 acres with other funds, thus leveraging over \$10 million of non-OHF funds.

# How does the plan address habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and list targeted species?

Metro Big Rivers projects protect and improve habitats needed by wildlife species in greatest conservation need (SGCN) and other targeted species, and where they need them. Many of Minnesota's forest and grassland SGCNs are migratory. Improving habitat along the central flyway (the three big rivers) provides great benefits to all wildlife species, especially during critical migration periods.

Friends of the Mississippi River will conduct habitat enhancement at five sites located on or near the Mississippi River, within the Audubon-designated Important Bird Area. This corridor provides critical habitat for neotropical migrant birds and numerous species of greatest conservation need. FMR has been tracking breeding bird species at these sites, recording 11 SGCNs. The sites are also vital for many other species, especially native pollinators, and provide connectivity to other natural areas.

Great River Greening will also conduct significant habitat work on public conservation lands to improve habitat values for wildlife and SGCN, including birds using the Mississippi River migratory corridor and pollinators. Work will restore and enhance riverine, lakeshore, forest, woodlands, prairie, and wetland habitat at 7 conservation sites.

Minnesota Land Trust will target its protection and restoration/enhancement action to priority privately owned lands to permanently protect high-quality upland and shoreland habitats from fragmentation, development, and other impacts that undermine the viability of SGCN and T&E species. Restoration and enhancement of habitat is proposed for lands already protected through easement.

Minnesota Valley Trust will acquire lands identified through the USFWS Comprehensive Conservation Plan for the Minnesota Valley National Wildlife Refuge. This plan prioritizes lands for high biodiversity, connectivity, and ability to preserve habitat for SGCN.

The Trust for Public Land will acquire lands in fee identified and prioritized in state, regional, and local natural resource plans due to their high biodiversity significance, connectivity to existing public lands, and ability to preserve habitat for SGCN. Acquisitions and subsequent habitat work increase breeding and migratory habitat for

waterfowl, shorebirds, neo-tropical migrants, and non-migratory resident species, protect the diversity of native ecosystems, and improve connectivity and resilience.

# Describe how the plan uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey:

Protection partners prioritize work through science-based processes led by the public entities that own or will own interest in the properties (e.g., MN DNR, USFWS). Plans followed include MBS, RESA, Metropolitan Conservation Corridors, Minnesota State Wildlife Action Plan, and the Comprehensive Conservation Plan for the Minnesota Valley National Wildlife Refuge. Actions are targeted toward building conservation corridors and priority habitat complexes.

In addition, the easement partner's competitive RFP process includes a second analysis of all proposed projects submitted by landowners for protection. This assessment evaluates the ecological significance of the proposed parcel, which includes the following three factors:

- Quantity the size of habitat and/or length of shoreline associated with a parcel, and abundance of Species in Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN) and Threatened & Endangered (T&E) species
- Quality the condition of the associated habitat and populations of SGCN and T&E species
- Landscape Context the extent and condition of natural habitat surrounding the parcel, and the degree to which adjacent property has been protected.

Restoration and enhancement partners use science-based criteria to prioritize activities. This includes consideration of the highest quality natural areas (as determined by MBS), as well as prioritization of work within important ecological corridors identified by a coalition of conservation partners and based on rare species and sensitive landscape features. This prioritization ensures that projects reduce fragmentation and link natural areas within already-established corridors. All of the restoration and enhancement sites are located along or near the three big rivers and important tributaries - some of the most important ecological corridors for migrating and sedentary plant and animal life.

# Which two sections of the Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan are most applicable to this project?

- H1 Protect priority land habitats
- H5 Restore land, wetlands and wetland-associated watersheds

## Which two other plans are addressed in this program?

- Minnesota's Wildlife Action Plan 2015-2025
- Outdoor Heritage Fund: A 25 Year Framework

## Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program?

#### Metro / Urban

• Protect habitat corridors, with emphasis on the Minnesota, Mississippi, and St. Croix rivers (bluff to floodplain)

#### **Outcomes**

## Programs in metropolitan urbanizing region:

A network of natural land and riparian habitats will connect corridors for wildlife and species in greatest
conservation need ~ Partners work together to identify priority lands using existing data and public plans,
then coordinate protection, restoration and enhancement activities in those priority areas. Work builds upon
prior phases and is intended to continue into the future for maximum impact. Mapping shows progress in
connecting corridors. Species collections and counts measure impact of activities over time on wildlife and
Species in Greatest Conservation Need.

## Does this program include leveraged funding?

Yes

## **Explain the leverage:**

Metro Big Rivers 13 will leverage the OHF appropriation with an estimated \$4,968,500 in other funds (32%). The partnership has secured commitments of supplemental funding from the partners, private sources, local government units, watershed districts / management organizations and park districts.

MLT encourages private landowners to fully or partially donate the appraised value of their conservation easement. This donated value is included in the leveraged funds in the proposal. MLT has a long track record gaining landowner participation in this fashion. MLT expects significant landowner contribution to continue in MBR Phase 13; a conservative estimate of leverage is \$240,000.

Crews of volunteers will add significant in-kind value to the restoration / enhancement projects. This value is not included in the leverage funds but is important to note. Volunteers effectively replace or enhance paid crews and contracts on many projects, saving funds. Use of volunteers also effectively educates and engages the community in conservation work, which is critical for the future of conservation.

Per MS 97A.056, Subd. 24, Please explain whether the request is supplanting or is a substitution for any previous funding that was not from a legacy fund and was used for the same purpose.

This request is not supplanting or substituting for any previous funding that was not from a legacy fund and was used for the same purpose.

## **Non-OHF Appropriations**

| Year | Source          | Amount      |
|------|-----------------|-------------|
| 2021 | Private         | \$1,127,043 |
| 2021 | Local           | \$496,297   |
| 2021 | Other State     | \$481,022   |
| 2020 | Private         | \$1,438,836 |
| 2020 | Local           | \$798,497   |
| 2020 | Other State     | \$760,722   |
| 2011 | Private         | \$1,578,572 |
| 2011 | Local & Federal | \$543,900   |
| 2011 | Other State     | \$1,429,358 |
| 2010 | Private         | \$3,516,521 |
| 2010 | Local & Federal | \$485,122   |
| 2010 | Other State     | \$2,010,658 |
| 2019 | Private & Other | \$715,515   |
| 2019 | Local           | \$481,672   |
| 2019 | Other State     | \$749,195   |
| 2018 | Private         | \$2,025,433 |
| 2009 | Private         | \$940,884   |
| 2018 | Local           | \$253,321   |
| 2018 | Other State     | \$656,503   |
| 2017 | Private & Other | \$1,278,433 |
| 2017 | Local           | \$739,800   |
| 2017 | Other State     | \$630,060   |
| 2016 | Private         | \$2,700,091 |
| 2016 | Local & Federal | \$1,822,000 |
| 2016 | Other State     | \$912,867   |
| 2015 | Private         | \$1,449,198 |
| 2015 | Local           | \$1,295,000 |
| 2009 | Local & Federal | \$230,310   |
| 2015 | Other State     | \$2,224,751 |
| 2014 | Private         | \$1,931,527 |
| 2014 | Local           | \$516,119   |
| 2014 | Other State     | \$1,873,857 |
| 2013 | Private         | \$1,253,038 |
| 2013 | Local & Federal | \$1,320,606 |
| 2013 | Other State     | \$2,130,284 |
| 2012 | Private & Other | \$2,063,388 |
| 2012 | Local & Federal | \$413,561   |
| 2012 | Other State     | \$684,449   |
| 2009 | Other State     | \$741,058   |

How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended?

All public partners have committed to maintaining the restoration / enhancement habitat improvements.

All MBR restore/enhance (FMR, GRG, MLT) partners will raise public and private sources and work cooperatively with partners to ensure the project benefits are maintained.

Lands protected through easement by MLT will be sustained following best standards and practices. MLT is a nationally-accredited and insured land trust with a successful stewardship program that includes annual property

monitoring, records management, addressing inquiries, tracking ownership changes, investigating potential violations and defending the easement in case of a true violation. MLT provides habitat management plans to landowners and helps them access resources and technical expertise to undertake restoration, enhancement and ongoing management.

Lands acquired in fee title by MVT for the Minnesota Valley National Wildlife Refuge will be sustained and maintained over the long-term by the USFWS. Habitat restoration / enhancement will be completed by MVT prior to transfer to the USFWS.

Lands acquired in fee title by TPL will be conveyed to the DNR or local units of government for permanent stewardship. Initial site development and restoration costs are included in this proposal. TPL will work with the steward to develop habitat plans.

## **Actions to Maintain Project Outcomes**

| Year              | Source of Funds      | Step 1               | Step 2                 | Step 3                |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Post-Acquisition, | MVT, TPL, Public     | Post acquired        | Develop & implement    | Transfer property to  |
| Ongoing           | Partners             | property             | habitat restoration    | public partner,       |
|                   |                      |                      | and enhancement        | steward               |
|                   |                      |                      | plans                  |                       |
| Ongoing           | MLT Stewardship &    | Annual monitoring of | Enforcement actions    | -                     |
|                   | Enforcement Fund     | completed easements  | as necessary           |                       |
| Ongoing           | FMR, GRG, MLT, Local | Monitoring and       | Target actions, engage | Take restorative      |
|                   | Partners, Private    | assessment of        | local partners and     | action to correct any |
|                   | Landowners           | restoration and      | landowners             | damage                |
|                   |                      | enhancement projects |                        |                       |

# Provide an assessment of how your program celebrates cultural diversity or reaches diverse communities in Minnesota, including reaching low- and moderate-income households:

Metro Big Rivers partners have a shared objective of providing all metro residents with high-quality natural spaces nearby. We believe everyone should be able to easily connect with nature, enjoy high-quality wildlife habitat and engage in wildlife-dependent recreation, regardless of race, ethnicity, or socio-economic status. Our projects benefit a diversity of communities in the MUA, from lower-income, densely populated neighborhoods to less-populated but urbanizing suburban/rural areas.

Examples of how MBR engages and benefits diverse communities include:

Friends of the Mississippi River and Great River Greening actively engage residents in habitat work in and near their neighborhoods through targeted outreach for volunteer events. Their youth programming targets young people from diverse backgrounds for exploring environmental careers. FMR's Environmental Stewards Institute (ESI) increases the number of underrepresented youth participating in environmental career pathway programs; at least 60% of participants identify as Black, Indigenous, or a Person of Color (BIPOC).

Metro residents can step off the light rail and into the wilderness on the Minnesota Valley National Wildlife Refuge to connect with nature and wildlife at no cost. The Refuge and Minnesota Valley Trust provide free busing for schools with a high percentage of low-income students and have a free lending program (e.g. snowshoes, fishing

poles, field backpacks, binoculars). Their robust internship and apprenticeship program recruits a diversity of youth to explore the outdoors and conservation careers.

Minnesota Land Trust's commitment includes numerous projects to protect camps. Recent protection of Camp Katherine Parsons enables the Phyllis Wheatley Community Center to expand programming for North Minneapolis residents at the camp, while protecting high-quality habitat. MLT's "Ambassador Lands Program" connects conservation landowners with community groups that desire access to private land for programming purposes, such as youth mentor hunts, cultural or ceremonial use, conservation training and nature-based education.

The Trust for Public Land directly works with and empowers diverse communities to put a park, trail or natural area within a 10-minute walk of every Twin Cities resident. TPL has helped create natural areas such as the Bruce Vento Nature Sanctuary, Frogtown Park and Farm, Midway Peace Park and Pilot Knob.

## **Activity Details**

### Requirements

If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056? Yes

Will county board or other local government approval <u>be formally sought\*\*</u> prior to acquisition, per 97A.056 subd 13(j)?

No

**Describe any measures to inform local governments of land acquisition under their jurisdiction:** Local units of government will be notified of pending fee title acquisitions, as required by law.

Is the land you plan to acquire (fee title) free of any other permanent protection? Yes

Is the land you plan to acquire (easement) free of any other permanent protection? Yes

Who will manage the easement?

Minnesota Land Trust

Who will be the easement holder?

Minnesota Land Trust

What is the anticipated number of easements (range is fine) you plan to accomplish with this appropriation?

4-7

Will restoration and enhancement work follow best management practices including MS 84.973 Pollinator Habitat Program?

Yes

Is the restoration and enhancement activity on permanently protected land per 97A.056, Subd 13(f), tribal lands, and/or public waters per MS 103G.005, Subd. 15 or on lands to be acquired in this program? Yes

### Where does the activity take place?

- County/Municipal
- AMA
- Public Waters
- SNA

#### **Land Use**

Will there be planting of any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program, either by the proposer or the end owner of the property, outside of the initial restoration of the land?

Yes

Explain what will be planted and include the maximum percentage of any acquired parcel that would be planted into foodplots by the proposer or the end owner of the property:

Easement Acquisition:

The purpose of the Minnesota Land Trust's conservation easements is to protect existing high-quality natural habitat and to preserve opportunities for future restoration. As such, we restrict any agricultural lands and use on the properties. In cases in which there are agricultural lands associated with the larger property, we will either carve the agricultural area out of the conservation easement, or in some limited cases, we may include a small percentage of agricultural lands if it is not feasible to carve those areas out. In such cases, however, we will not use OHF funds to pay the landowners for that portion of the conservation easement.

#### Restoration/Enhancement:

Short-term use of agricultural crops is an accepted best practice for preparing a site for prairie restoration. For example, short-term use of soybeans could be used for restorations in order to control weed seedbeds prior to prairie planting. In some cases this necessitates the use of GMO treated products to facilitate herbicide use in order to control weeds present in the seedbank.

#### Is this land currently open for hunting and fishing?

No

#### Will the land be open for hunting and fishing after completion?

Yes

## Describe any variation from the State of Minnesota regulations:

Lands acquired for the Minnesota Valley National Wildlife Refuge will be open for public hunting and fishing according to the National Wildlife Refuge Improvement Act. The lands will be opened through a public process prescribed by the Act. We anticipate hunting and fishing opportunities will be like those already established for lands previously acquired for the Refuge. For specific information, refer to the Refuge's website - https://www.fws.gov/refuge/minnesota-valley/visit-us/activities/hunting

Lands acquired by The Trust for Public Land will be open for fishing and hunting.

## Who will eventually own the fee title land?

- State of MN
- Federal
- Local Unit of Government

#### Land acquired in fee will be designated as a:

- WMA
- National Wildlife Refuge
- SNA

# What is the anticipated number of closed acquisitions (range is fine) you plan to accomplish with this appropriation?

2-4

#### Will the eased land be open for public use?

No

#### Are there currently trails or roads on any of the proposed acquisitions?

Yes

### Describe the types of trails or roads and the allowable uses:

We are not aware of any trails or roads at this time, although some parcels acquired in fee title may have existing field roads or low maintenance trails. The Keystone Woods property has several two tracks. Properties identified and prioritized for protection through conservation easements often have trails and roads on them; private landowners typically will be allowed to use those trails/roads on their property.

## Will the trails or roads remain and uses continue to be allowed after OHF acquisition? Yes

#### How will maintenance and monitoring be accomplished?

Trails and roads on eased lands are identified in the project baseline report and will be monitored annually as part of MLT's stewardship and enforcement protocols. Maintenance of permitted roads or trails in line with the easement terms will be the responsibility of the landowner.

Any pre-existing low-maintenance roads and trails on properties acquired for the MN Valley National Wildlife Refuge (USFWS) may be continued under a plan developed for the purpose of property access for habitat maintenance and public use of the property for wildlife-dependent recreation (e.g., hunting and fishing).

TPL is not aware of any trails or roads on any of the acquisitions other than several two tracks on the Keystone Woods WMA property. These and any others that are discovered will be managed per DNR policy for WMAs, AMAs, SNAs or State Forests. If they are discovered on lands to be managed by local units of government, they will be managed per a maintenance and monitoring plan developed in consultation with LSOHC staff.

#### Will new trails or roads be developed or improved as a result of the OHF acquisition?

No

## Will the acquired parcels be restored or enhanced within this appropriation?

No

# Will the land that you acquire (fee or easement) be restored or enhanced within this program's funding and availability?

No

#### Explain how, when, and source of the R/E work:

Restoration and enhancement needs associated with fee title and easement projects completed under this grant will be assessed. Needs identified will be addressed through private sources, Conservation Partners Legacy Grant proposals and/or future funding proposals to LSOHC. If funds remain in this grant, an amendment may be submitted to allow those funds to be reallocated to restoration and enhancement on lands protected by this grant.

For the restoration / enhancement on eased lands, MLT restoration personnel will conduct outreach with easement landowners to evaluate, scope, design and schedule additional restoration projects. These activities will improve the project selection, cost-estimates and outcomes for future OHF funding requests.

## **Timeline**

| Activity Name   | Estimated Completion Date |
|---|---------------------------|
| TPL - Protect 700 acres through fee title acquisition     | June 2027                 |
| MVT - Protect 175 acres through fee title acquisition     | June 2027                 |
| MLT - Protect 180 acres under conservation easement       | June 2027                 |
| GRG - Restore 3 miles streambank and 1.5 miles lakeshore, | June 2028                 |
| restore 9 acres and enhance 40 acres                      |                           |
| FMR - Restore 15 acres and enhance 130 acres              | June 2028                 |

**Date of Final Report Submission:** 11/01/2028

**Availability of Appropriation:** Subd. 7. Availability of Appropriation

- (a) Money appropriated in this section may not be spent on activities unless they are directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation and are specified in the accomplishment plan approved by the Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council. Money appropriated in this section must not be spent on indirect costs or other institutional overhead charges that are not directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation. Money appropriated to acquire land in fee may be used to restore, enhance, and provide for public use of the land acquired with the appropriation. Public-use facilities must have a minimal impact on habitat in acquired lands.
- (b) Money appropriated in this section is available as follows:
- (1) money appropriated for acquiring real property is available until June 30, 2027;
- (2) money appropriated for restoring and enhancing land acquired with an appropriation in this act is available for four years after the acquisition date with a maximum end date of June 30, 2031;
- (3) money appropriated for restoring or enhancing other land is available until June 30, 2028;
- (4) notwithstanding clauses (1) to (3), money appropriated for a project that receives at least 15 percent of its funding from federal funds is available until a date sufficient to match the availability of federal funding to a maximum of six years if the federal funding was confirmed and included in the original approved draft

accomplishment plan; and

(5) money appropriated for other projects is available until the end of the fiscal year in which it is appropriated.

## **Budget**

Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan.

## **Grand Totals Across All Partnerships**

| Item                  | Funding Request   | Leverage    | Leverage Source        | Total        |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Personnel             | \$512,000         | \$80,500    | -, City of Chanhassen, | \$592,500    |
|                       |                   |             | Nine Mile Creek        |              |
|                       |                   |             | Watershed District,    |              |
|                       |                   |             | Private Funders,       |              |
|                       |                   |             | Cities, foundations,   |              |
|                       |                   |             | FMR                    |              |
|                       |                   |             | members/donors         |              |
| Contracts             | \$1,607,900       | \$150,000   | -, Nine Mile Creek     | \$1,757,900  |
|                       |                   |             | Watershed District     |              |
| Fee Acquisition w/    | \$10,500,000      | \$4,100,000 | -, Washington County   | \$14,600,000 |
| PILT                  | ф <b>7</b> 00 000 | #4FF 000    | D : .                  | #07F 000     |
| Fee Acquisition w/o   | \$700,000         | \$175,000   | -, Private             | \$875,000    |
| PILT                  | #4.206.000        | #240.000    | D                      | #4 F26 000   |
| Easement Acquisition  | \$1,286,000       | \$240,000   | -, Private Landowners  | \$1,526,000  |
| Easement              | \$192,000         | -           | -                      | \$192,000    |
| Stewardship           | 117.100           | 40.000      |                        | ±1= 100      |
| Travel                | \$15,400          | \$2,000     | -, Private             | \$17,400     |
| Professional Services | \$311,000         | \$115,000   | -, Nine Mile Creek     | \$426,000    |
|                       |                   |             | Watershed District     | 10.00        |
| Direct Support        | \$146,000         | \$103,000   | -, Private Funders,    | \$249,000    |
| Services              |                   |             | Private                |              |
| DNR Land Acquisition  | -                 | -           | -                      | -            |
| Costs                 |                   |             |                        |              |
| Capital Equipment     | -                 | -           | -                      | -            |
| Other                 | \$5,000           | -           | -                      | \$5,000      |
| Equipment/Tools       |                   |             | _                      |              |
| Supplies/Materials    | \$63,700          | \$3,000     | -, In-Kind             | \$66,700     |
| DNR IDP               | -                 | -           | -                      | -            |
| Grand Total           | \$15,339,000      | \$4,968,500 | -                      | \$20,307,500 |

## **Partner: Great River Greening**

## Totals

| Item                  | Funding Request | Leverage  | Leverage Source     | Total       |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------|---------------------|-------------|
| Personnel             | \$113,700       | \$43,000  | City of Chanhassen, | \$156,700   |
|                       |                 |           | Nine Mile Creek     |             |
|                       |                 |           | Watershed District, |             |
|                       |                 |           | Private Funders     |             |
| Contracts             | \$746,800       | \$150,000 | Nine Mile Creek     | \$896,800   |
|                       |                 |           | Watershed District  |             |
| Fee Acquisition w/    | -               | -         | -                   | -           |
| PILT                  |                 |           |                     |             |
| Fee Acquisition w/o   | -               | -         | -                   | -           |
| PILT                  |                 |           |                     |             |
| Easement Acquisition  | -               | -         | -                   | -           |
| Easement              | -               | -         | -                   | -           |
| Stewardship           |                 |           |                     |             |
| Travel                | \$1,800         | -         | -                   | \$1,800     |
| Professional Services | -               | \$115,000 | Nine Mile Creek     | \$115,000   |
|                       |                 |           | Watershed District  |             |
| Direct Support        | \$33,000        | \$36,000  | Private Funders     | \$69,000    |
| Services              |                 |           |                     |             |
| DNR Land Acquisition  | -               | -         | -                   | -           |
| Costs                 |                 |           |                     |             |
| Capital Equipment     | -               | -         | -                   | -           |
| Other                 | \$3,000         | -         | -                   | \$3,000     |
| Equipment/Tools       |                 |           |                     |             |
| Supplies/Materials    | \$29,700        | -         | -                   | \$29,700    |
| DNR IDP               | -               | -         | -                   | -           |
| <b>Grand Total</b>    | \$928,000       | \$344,000 | -                   | \$1,272,000 |

## Personnel

| Position     | Annual FTE | Years   | Funding   | Leverage | Leverage    | Total     |
|--------------|------------|---------|-----------|----------|-------------|-----------|
|              |            | Working | Request   |          | Source      |           |
| GRG Staff    | 0.26       | 5.0     | \$113,700 | \$43,000 | City of     | \$156,700 |
| (Ecologist,  |            |         |           |          | Chanhassen, |           |
| Technicians) |            |         |           |          | Nine Mile   |           |
|              |            |         |           |          | Creek       |           |
|              |            |         |           |          | Watershed   |           |
|              |            |         |           |          | District,   |           |
|              |            |         |           |          | Private     |           |
|              |            |         |           |          | Funders     |           |

## Partner: Friends of the Mississippi River

## Totals

| Item                  | Funding Request | Leverage | Leverage Source      | Total     |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------------|-----------|
| Personnel             | \$78,300        | \$37,500 | Cities, foundations, | \$115,800 |
|                       |                 |          | FMR                  |           |
|                       |                 |          | members/donors       |           |
| Contracts             | \$424,100       | -        | -                    | \$424,100 |
| Fee Acquisition w/    | -               | -        | -                    | -         |
| PILT                  |                 |          |                      |           |
| Fee Acquisition w/o   | -               | -        | -                    | -         |
| PILT                  |                 |          |                      |           |
| Easement Acquisition  | -               | -        | -                    | -         |
| Easement              | -               | -        | -                    | -         |
| Stewardship           |                 |          |                      |           |
| Travel                | \$3,600         | -        | -                    | \$3,600   |
| Professional Services | -               | -        | -                    | -         |
| Direct Support        | -               | -        | -                    | -         |
| Services              |                 |          |                      |           |
| DNR Land Acquisition  | -               | -        | -                    | -         |
| Costs                 |                 |          |                      |           |
| Capital Equipment     | -               | -        | -                    | -         |
| Other                 | -               | -        | -                    | -         |
| Equipment/Tools       |                 |          |                      |           |
| Supplies/Materials    | \$34,000        | \$3,000  | In-Kind              | \$37,000  |
| DNR IDP               | -               | -        | -                    | -         |
| <b>Grand Total</b>    | \$540,000       | \$40,500 | -                    | \$580,500 |

## Personnel

| Position        | Annual FTE | Years   | Funding  | Leverage | Leverage         | Total     |
|-----------------|------------|---------|----------|----------|------------------|-----------|
|                 |            | Working | Request  |          | Source           |           |
| FMR Staff       | 0.37       | 4.0     | \$78,300 | \$37,500 | Cities,          | \$115,800 |
| (Ecologists,    |            |         |          |          | foundations, FMR |           |
| Conservation    |            |         |          |          | members/donors   |           |
| Director,       |            |         |          |          | ,                |           |
| Bookkeeper,     |            |         |          |          |                  |           |
| College Intern) |            |         |          |          |                  |           |

## **Partner: Trust for Public Land**

## Totals

| Item                  | Funding Request | Leverage    | Leverage Source   | Total        |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Personnel             | \$110,000       | -           | -                 | \$110,000    |
| Contracts             | \$374,000       | -           | -                 | \$374,000    |
| Fee Acquisition w/    | \$10,500,000    | \$4,100,000 | Washington County | \$14,600,000 |
| PILT                  |                 |             |                   |              |
| Fee Acquisition w/o   | -               | -           | -                 | -            |
| PILT                  |                 |             |                   |              |
| Easement Acquisition  | -               | -           | -                 | -            |
| Easement              | -               | -           | -                 | -            |
| Stewardship           |                 |             |                   |              |
| Travel                | -               | \$2,000     | Private           | \$2,000      |
| Professional Services | \$120,000       | -           | -                 | \$120,000    |
| Direct Support        | \$67,000        | \$67,000    | Private           | \$134,000    |
| Services              |                 |             |                   |              |
| DNR Land Acquisition  | -               | -           | -                 | -            |
| Costs                 |                 |             |                   |              |
| Capital Equipment     | -               | -           | -                 | -            |
| Other                 | -               | -           | -                 | -            |
| Equipment/Tools       |                 |             |                   |              |
| Supplies/Materials    | -               | -           | -                 | -            |
| DNR IDP               | -               | -           | -                 | -            |
| <b>Grand Total</b>    | \$11,171,000    | \$4,169,000 | -                 | \$15,340,000 |

## Personnel

| Position     | Annual FTE | Years<br>Working | Funding<br>Request | Leverage | Leverage<br>Source | Total     |
|--------------|------------|------------------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|-----------|
| TPL Staff    | 0.18       | 3.0              | \$110,000          | -        | -                  | \$110,000 |
| (Protection, |            |                  |                    |          |                    |           |
| Legal)       |            |                  |                    |          |                    |           |

## Partner: Minnesota Valley National Wildlife Refuge Trust, Inc.

## Totals

| Item                  | Funding Request | Leverage  | Leverage Source | Total     |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| Personnel             | -               | -         | -               | -         |
| Contracts             | -               | -         | -               | -         |
| Fee Acquisition w/    | -               | -         | -               | -         |
| PILT                  |                 |           |                 |           |
| Fee Acquisition w/o   | \$700,000       | \$175,000 | Private         | \$875,000 |
| PILT                  |                 |           |                 |           |
| Easement Acquisition  | -               | -         | -               | -         |
| Easement              | -               | -         | -               | -         |
| Stewardship           |                 |           |                 |           |
| Travel                | -               | -         | -               | -         |
| Professional Services | -               | -         | -               | -         |
| Direct Support        | -               | -         | -               | -         |
| Services              |                 |           |                 |           |
| DNR Land Acquisition  | -               | -         | -               | -         |
| Costs                 |                 |           |                 |           |
| Capital Equipment     | -               | -         | -               | -         |
| Other                 | -               | -         | -               | -         |
| Equipment/Tools       |                 |           |                 |           |
| Supplies/Materials    | -               | -         | -               | -         |
| DNR IDP               | -               | -         | -               | -         |
| Grand Total           | \$700,000       | \$175,000 | -               | \$875,000 |

#### **Partner: Minnesota Land Trust**

### **Totals**

| Item                       | Funding Request | Leverage  | Leverage Source    | Total       |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------|
| Personnel                  | \$210,000       | -         | -                  | \$210,000   |
| Contracts                  | \$63,000        | -         | -                  | \$63,000    |
| Fee Acquisition w/<br>PILT | -               | -         | -                  | -           |
| Fee Acquisition w/o PILT   | -               | -         | -                  | -           |
| Easement Acquisition       | \$1,286,000     | \$240,000 | Private Landowners | \$1,526,000 |
| Easement                   | \$192,000       | -         | -                  | \$192,000   |
| Stewardship                |                 |           |                    |             |
| Travel                     | \$10,000        | -         | -                  | \$10,000    |
| Professional Services      | \$191,000       | -         | -                  | \$191,000   |
| Direct Support             | \$46,000        | -         | -                  | \$46,000    |
| Services                   |                 |           |                    |             |
| DNR Land Acquisition       | -               | -         | -                  | -           |
| Costs                      |                 |           |                    |             |
| Capital Equipment          | -               | -         | -                  | -           |
| Other                      | \$2,000         | -         | -                  | \$2,000     |
| Equipment/Tools            |                 |           |                    |             |
| Supplies/Materials         | -               | -         | -                  | -           |
| DNR IDP                    | -               | -         | -                  | -           |
| <b>Grand Total</b>         | \$2,000,000     | \$240,000 | -                  | \$2,240,000 |

#### Personnel

| Position         | Annual FTE | Years<br>Working | Funding<br>Request | Leverage | Leverage<br>Source | Total     |
|------------------|------------|------------------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|-----------|
| MLT              | 0.5        | 4.0              | \$210,000          | -        | -                  | \$210,000 |
| Protection Staff |            |                  |                    |          |                    |           |

**Amount of Request:** \$15,339,000 **Amount of Leverage:** \$4,968,500

Leverage as a percent of the Request: 32.39%

**DSS + Personnel:** \$658,000

As a % of the total request: 4.29% Easement Stewardship: \$192,000

As a % of the Easement Acquisition: 14.93%

# How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?

Metro Big Rivers reduced the number of projects it will complete and acres impacted to accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation.

### Describe and explain leverage source and confirmation of funds:

Leverage includes anticipated donated easement value by landowners (MLT), anticipated fee acquisition by Washington County of part of the Keystone Woods property (TPL), committed partner and other private funds (FMR, GRG, MVT, TPL), committed and anticipated city, county and watershed district funds (FMR, GRG).

### Does this project have the ability to be scalable?

Yes

## If the project received 50% of the requested funding

Describe how the scaling would affect acres/activities and if not proportionately reduced, why? A reduction in funding would reduce outputs (acres/activities). The reduction will not be exactly proportional, as partners have some fixed costs that do not change based on project size.

# Describe how personnel and DSS expenses would be adjusted and if not proportionately reduced, why?

Personnel and DSS expenses are scalable, but not proportionately, due to grant management, landowner outreach and and other fixed costs. Some easement and fee acquisitions fail to close, but still have costs. Landowner donation of easement value allows grant funds to go further, increasing personnel and DSS costs.

#### Personnel

#### Has funding for these positions been requested in the past?

Yes

#### **Contracts**

#### What is included in the contracts line?

FMR, GRG - Restoration / enhancement contracts with service providers.

MLT - Habitat management plan preparation, landowner outreach by county SWCD offices.

TPL - Potential site clean-up and initial restoration activities.

## **Fee Acquisition**

## What is the anticipated number of fee title acquisition transactions?

2-4

#### **Easement Stewardship**

## What is the number of easements anticipated, cost per easement for stewardship, and explain how that amount is calculated?

Minnesota Land Trust's budget is based on the closing of 4-7 conservation easements based on size and cost. The average cost per easement to fund the Minnesota Land Trust's perpetual monitoring and enforcement obligations is \$24,000. This figure is derived from MLT's detailed stewardship funding "cost analysis" which is consistent with Land Trust Accreditation standards. MLT shares periodic updates to this cost analysis with LSOHC staff.

#### Travel

#### Does the amount in the travel line include equipment/vehicle rental?

Yes

Explain the amount in the travel line outside of traditional travel costs of mileage, food, and lodging  $\rm\,N/A$ 

I understand and agree that lodging, meals, and mileage must comply with the current MMB Commissioner Plan:

Yes

Project #: HA11

## **Direct Support Services**

# How did you determine which portions of the Direct Support Services of your shared support services is direct to this program?

GRG - DSS rate has been approved by the DNR in September 2019, GRG's DSS rate includes the allowable direct and necessary expenditures that are not captured in other line items in the budget. A portion not exceeding 50% of these costs are requested from the grant and the balance is contributed as leverage.

MLT - In a process approved by the DNR on March 17, 2017, MLT's DSS rate includes the allowable direct and necessary expenditures that are not captured in other line items in the budget. This is similar to the MLT's proposed federal indirect rate. MLT will apply this DNR-approved rate only to personnel expenses.

TPL - DSS rate is based upon our federal rate which has been approved by the DNR. 50% of these costs are requested from the grant, 50% is contributed as leverage.

FMR and MVT are not requesting DSS.

## **Other Equipment/Tools**

### Give examples of the types of Equipment and Tools that will be purchased?

Hand tools, saws, brush cutters, GPS devices, safety gear and other necessary equipment to complete restoration and enhancement activities.

## **Federal Funds**

Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program?

No

## **Output Tables**

## **Acres by Resource Type (Table 1)**

| Type                                     | Wetland | Prairie | Forest | Habitat | <b>Total Acres</b> |
|--|---------|---------|--------|---------|--------------------|
| Restore                                  | -       | 15      | ı      | 9       | 24                 |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | -       | -       | ı      | 700     | 700                |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability  | 15      | 80      | 80     | ı       | 175                |
| Protect in Easement                      | -       | -       | ı      | 180     | 180                |
| Enhance                                  | 0       | 11      | 159    | 0       | 170                |
| Total                                    | 15      | 106     | 239    | 889     | 1,249              |

## **How many of these Prairie acres are Native Prairie? (Table 1b)**

| Туре                                     | Native<br>Prairie<br>(acres) |
|--|------------------------------|
| Restore                                  | -                            |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | -                            |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability  | -                            |
| Protect in Easement                      | -                            |
| Enhance                                  | 11                           |
| Total                                    | 11                           |

## **Total Requested Funding by Resource Type (Table 2)**

| Type                                     | Wetland  | Prairie   | Forest    | Habitat      | Total Funding |
|--|----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|---------------|
| Restore                                  | -        | \$75,000  | -         | \$769,600    | \$844,600     |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | -        | ı         | -         | \$11,171,000 | \$11,171,000  |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability  | \$60,000 | \$320,000 | \$320,000 | -            | \$700,000     |
| Protect in Easement                      | -        | -         | -         | \$2,000,000  | \$2,000,000   |
| Enhance                                  | -        | \$51,200  | \$572,200 | -            | \$623,400     |
| Total                                    | \$60,000 | \$446,200 | \$892,200 | \$13,940,600 | \$15,339,000  |

## **Acres within each Ecological Section (Table 3)**

| Туре                                     | Metro/Urban | Forest/Prairie | SE Forest | Prairie | N. Forest | Total Acres |
|--|-------------|----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|-------------|
| Restore                                  | 24          | -              | ı         | -       | ı         | 24          |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | 700         | -              | 1         | 1       | 1         | 700         |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability  | 175         | -              | -         | -       | -         | 175         |
| Protect in Easement                      | 180         | -              | -         | -       | -         | 180         |
| Enhance                                  | 170         | -              | -         | -       | 1         | 170         |
| Total                                    | 1,249       | -              | -         | -       | -         | 1,249       |

## **Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section (Table 4)**

| Туре  | Metro/Urban  | Forest/Prairie | SE Forest | Prairie | N. Forest | Total<br>Funding |
|---|--------------|----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|------------------|
| Restore                                     | \$844,600    | -              | -         | -       | -         | \$844,600        |
| Protect in Fee with State<br>PILT Liability | \$11,171,000 | -              | -         | -       | -         | \$11,171,000     |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability     | \$700,000    | -              | -         | -       | -         | \$700,000        |
| Protect in Easement                         | \$2,000,000  | -              | -         | -       | -         | \$2,000,000      |
| Enhance                                     | \$623,400    | -              | -         | -       | -         | \$623,400        |
| Total                                       | \$15,339,000 | -              | -         | -       | -         | \$15,339,000     |

## **Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type (Table 5)**

| Type                                     | Wetland | Prairie | Forest  | Habitat  |
|--|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| Restore                                  | -       | \$5,000 | -       | \$85,511 |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | -       | -       | -       | \$15,958 |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability  | \$4,000 | \$4,000 | \$4,000 | -        |
| Protect in Easement                      | -       | -       | -       | \$11,111 |
| Enhance                                  | -       | \$4,654 | \$3,598 | -        |

## **Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section (Table 6)**

| Туре  | Metro/Urban | Forest/Prairie | SE Forest | Prairie | N. Forest |
|---|-------------|----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| Restore                                     | \$35,191    | -              | -         | -       | -         |
| Protect in Fee with State<br>PILT Liability | \$15,958    | -              | -         | -       | -         |
| Protect in Fee w/o State<br>PILT Liability  | \$4,000     | -              | -         | -       | 1         |
| Protect in Easement                         | \$11,111    | -              | -         | -       | ı         |
| Enhance                                     | \$3,667     | -              | -         | -       | -         |

## **Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles**

7.4

## **Parcels**

#### **Parcel Information**

## Sign-up Criteria?

Yes - Sign up criteria is attached

### Explain the process used to identify, prioritize, and select the parcels on your list:

FMR and GRG work with their public partners and other interested stakeholders to identify priority projects and areas. Criteria includes ecological and habitat value and potential (biodiversity, size and location), congruence with existing plans and priority areas, adjacency and connectedness to other public and protected lands and complexes, willing and committed landowners and leveraged opportunities.

MLT's competitive RFP process for identifying, prioritizing and selecting parcels for the Metro Big Rivers easement program is attached. MLT prioritizes parcels for restoration and enhancement that are of high ecological significance, adjacent or close to public conservation investments and owned by landowners committed to conservation.

MVT seeks to acquire land within the boundaries established by the USFWS for the Minnesota Valley National Wildlife Refuge in its Comprehensive Conservation Plan. Within those boundaries, parcels are prioritized based on adjacency or proximity to lands already publicly-protected, the opportunity to protect lands from development and restore habitat to meet ecological and public use objectives, and the feasibility of completing large blocks of protected and publicly-managed lands over time.

TPL works with its public partners (Minnesota DNR and local units of government) to identify priority opportunities that expand on and create new public conservation investments that protect high-quality wetland, woodland, prairie and riparian habitat.

## **Restore / Enhance Parcels**

| Name                                       | County   | TRDS     | Acres | Est Cost  | Existing<br>Protection | Description  |
|--|----------|----------|-------|-----------|------------------------|--|
| GRG - Lake Ann Park                        | Carver   | 11623210 | 35    | \$137,200 | Yes                    | Enhance 35 acres forest along 1 mile lakeshore   |
| FMR - Vermillion River AMA -<br>Kamen East | Dakota   | 11419223 | 23    | \$82,200  | Yes                    | Enhance 23 acres of riparian forest  |
| FMR - Vermillion River AMA -<br>Butler     | Dakota   | 11419223 | 27    | \$92,900  | Yes                    | Enhance 27 acres of riparian forest  |
| FMR - Hastings Sand Coulee                 | Dakota   | 11417202 | 40    | \$80,000  | Yes                    | Enhance 29 acres of forest and 11 acres of remnant prairie   |
| GRG - 9 Mile Creek South Fork              | Hennepin | 11622202 | 4     | \$637,400 | Yes                    | Restore 2 miles streambank<br>on the south fork of Nine<br>Mile Creek  |
| GRG - Loeb Lake Shoreline                  | Ramsey   | 02923225 | 1     | \$74,700  | Yes                    | Restore shoreline of Loeb<br>Lake in St. Paul Park<br>through invasive species<br>removal, bank stabilization<br>and vegetative buffer<br>conversion |
| FMR - Carver Preserve                      | Ramsey   | 02822224 | 25    | \$177,200 | Yes                    | Restore 10 acres of prairie and enhance 15 acres of woodland   |
| FMR - Applewood Preserve                   | Ramsey   | 02822213 | 25    | \$56,400  | Yes                    | Enhance 20 acres of forest and 5 acres of prairie  |
| FMR - Davis Farm Park                      | Wright   | 12123218 | 16    | \$65,000  | Yes                    | Create a management plan for 16 acres, enhance 8 acres forest  |

## **Fee Parcels**

| Name   | County     | TRDS     | Acres | Est Cost    | Existing   |
|--|------------|----------|-------|-------------|------------|
|  |            |          |       |             | Protection |
| TPL - Mud Lake                               | Anoka      | 03325212 | 488   | \$2,700,000 | No         |
| MVT - San Francisco Unit Addition            | Carver     | 11424215 | 353   | \$1,412,000 | No         |
| MVT - Rapids Lake Unit Addition, MN Valley   | Carver     | 11423206 | 118   | \$826,000   | No         |
| National Wildlife Refuge                     |            |          |       |             |            |
| TPL - Patterson Lake WMA Addition            | Carver     | 11625220 | 650   | \$4,500,000 | No         |
| MVT - San Francisco Unit Addition, MN Valley | Carver     | 11424212 | 168   | \$672,000   | No         |
| National Wildlife Refuge                     |            |          |       |             |            |
| TPL - Carlos Avery WMA Addition              | Chisago    | 03321205 | 60    | \$80,000    | No         |
| TPL - Stanchfield Creek                      | Isanti     | 03724235 | 710   | \$2,200,000 | No         |
| MVT - Blakeley Unit Addition, MN Valley      | Scott      | 11326236 | 194   | \$630,500   | No         |
| National Wildlife Refuge                     |            |          |       |             |            |
| TPL - Vale WMA Addition                      | Sibley     | 11326222 | 165   | \$550,000   | No         |
| MVT - Jessenland Unit Addition, MN Valley    | Sibley     | 11326213 | 200   | \$650,000   | No         |
| National Wildlife Refuge                     | -          |          |       |             |            |
| TPL - Hardwood Creek WMA Addition            | Washington | 03221226 | 470   | \$700,000   | No         |
| TPL - Paul Hugo Farms WMA Addition           | Washington | 03121222 | 230   | \$1,000,000 | No         |

## **Fee Parcels with Buildings**

| Name   | County     | TRDS     | Acres | Est Cost     | Existing<br>Protection | Buildings | Value of<br>Buildings |
|--|------------|----------|-------|--------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| MVT - Louisville Swamp<br>Unit Addition, Minnesota<br>Valley National Wildlife<br>Refuge | Scott      | 11423204 | 7     | \$800,000    | No                     | 3         | \$160,000             |
| TPL - Keystone Woods<br>WMA (phase 2)  | Washington | 03121212 | 700   | \$10,500,000 | No                     | 10        | \$0                   |

